

02-Methods for Structure Determination and Analysis,
Computing and Graphics

41

We developed the CRISP image processing system for electron crystallography, in order to make it possible for any EM laboratory to set up electron crystallography. HREM images are digitized by a standard CCD camera and processed by CRISP. The FT of the image (256 x 256 pixels) is calculated on a personal computer in only 4 seconds. From the FT the defocus, crystal tilt and resolution may be estimated. The lattice is indexed and refined and amplitudes and phases are extracted from the FT for all diffracted beams. The crystal symmetry can be determined, even for quite thick and/or misaligned crystals. The correct symmetry can be imposed, remarkably improving the projected potential map. Finally metal atom coordinates in oxides are determined with a precision of typically 0.1 Ångström.

Recently we have also developed a system, called ELD, for extracting electron diffraction intensities from film (Zou, Sukharev and Hovmöller, *Ultramicroscopy* 49 (1993) in press).

MS-02.04.05

SOLVING CRYSTAL STRUCTURES FROM ELECTRON CRYSTALLOGRAPHY DATA VIA MAXIMUM ENTROPY AND LIKELIHOOD

C.J.Gilmore¹, S.Springett, K.Shankland and J.R.Fryer. *Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland.* G.Bricogne. *LURE, Bâtiment 209D, Orsay 91405, France,* and D.L.Dorset, *Medical Foundation of Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA.*

The maximum entropy-likelihood method as formulated by Bricogne (Bricogne, G. *Acta Cryst.* (1984) **A40**, 410-445), and implemented by Bricogne and Gilmore (*Acta Cryst.* (1990) **A46**, 284-297) provides a powerful phasing technique for use in the electron crystallography of small molecules. We report here the process of entropy maximisation and likelihood evaluation coupled with the building of phasing trees to solve such problems.

The maximum entropy (ME) method is ideal in these circumstances because:

- (1) It will work with projection data.
- (2) It is stable regardless of data resolution.
- (3) It uses non-uniform atomic distributions which are continually updated in the light of new phase information.
- (4) It is not sensitive to data errors: this is important here where dynamical effects can produce systematic errors in intensity measurements.

We have applied the technique to both organics and inorganics including:

- (a) $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 3\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ (Voronova, A.A and Vainshtein, B.K. (1958) *Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.* **3**, 445-451)
- (b) Polyethylene. (Dorset, D.L. *Macromolecules* (1991) **24**, 1175-1178)
- (c) Diketopiperazine (Dorset, D.L., *Acta Cryst.* (1991) **A47**, 510-515)
- (d) Poly(ϵ -caprolactone) (Dorset D.L., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1991) **88**, 5499-5502)
- (e) Copper perchlorophthalocyanine (Dorset, D.L., *Ultramicroscopy*, (1991) **38**, 41-45)
- (f) Polybut-1-ene.

(g) γ -poly(pivalolactone) (Dorset, D.L., *Macromolecules*, (1992) **25**, 4425-4430)

(g) Perylene (Donaldson, D.M., Robertson, J.M. & White, J.G. *Proc. Roy. Soc. (A)* (1953) 220, 311-321) in projection using 21 reflections in total.

In all cases the method has proved to work routinely.

MS-02.04.06 STRUCTURE FACTOR AMPLITUDE AND PHASE DETERMINATION BY OMEGA ENERGY FILTERED CBED. J. Mayer, C. Deininger and G. Necker, Max-Planck-Institut für Metallforschung, Stuttgart, Germany.

Convergent beam electron diffraction (CBED) makes possible to obtain dynamical diffraction data from very small crystal areas (typically a few nanometers). Accurate quantification of the intensities, however, requires that energy filtered data are used because only the elastically scattered electrons contain the desired crystallographic information. Recently, imaging energy filters have become commercially available and energy filtered diffraction patterns can now be recorded without scanning using efficient parallel (2-dimensional) detection. We have used a Zeiss EM 912 Omega equipped with a 1024x1024 slow scan CCD camera to record energy filtered CBED patterns. The quantification of the intensity data is based on many beam dynamical calculations which have to be repeated over a wide range of parameter values in order to refine the structure factor amplitudes and phases. We have modified the original method by Zuo and Spence (*Ultramicroscopy* **35**, 1991, 185-196) to make use of the two-dimensional nature of the energy filtered patterns and to improve the efficiency of the refinement process. Based on a fast computer complete sets of low order structure factors can be obtained from the crystals under investigation. Structure factor phases can be measured with an accuracy of about one degree. BeO will be discussed as an example (J.M. Zuo, J.C.H. Spence, J. Downs and J. Mayer, *Acta Cryst.*, to be published). From a whole set of low order structure factors maps of the charge density distribution in the crystal can be determined and related to the properties of the material. The method is so far restricted to inorganic crystals of known structure but extensions to large unit cells or unknown structures are possible and will be discussed.

MS-02.04.07 USE OF COHERENT ELECTRON DIFFRACTION AND CONICAL SCANNING IN OBTAINING DATA FOR DIRECT PHASING OF REFLECTIONS IN ELECTRON DIFFRACTION. by J.W.Steeds and R.Vincent, Physics Department, University of Bistol, Bristol BS8 1TL,UK

The influence of dynamical scattering on electron diffraction data may be reduced by concentrating on higher order Laue zone (HOLZ) diffraction in convergent beam electron diffraction (CBED) data. Data obtained in this way has been used both to refine atomic parameters with high accuracy and to solve structures by use of conditional Patterson transforms. As yet, only simple version of direct methods for phasing have been applied to limited data sets of intense reflections related by closed vector loops in centro-symmetric crystals (phase triplets with net zero phase). In an attempt to reduce non-systematic

02-Methods for Structure Determination and Analysis, Computing and Graphics

dynamical effects and to increase the data set available for analysis a new approach has been implemented. The incident convergent beam of electrons is scanned in a cone of controlled angle and de-scanned below the specimen. For zone axis patterns, the circles of HOLZ reflections expand into annuli where the intensity within each CBED disc is integrated by rocking through the Bragg condition, as in X-ray diffractometers.

Another development, which we have been pursuing, involves the formation of coherent electron diffraction patterns from overlapping orders. With the probe slightly de-focused on the specimen the relative phases of overlapping orders may be determined directly from the fringe patterns formed in the regions of overlap. The de-focusing of the beam leads to a reduction of the beam damage which might otherwise occur. This method was first applied to various polytypes of SiC with phase determination of reflections in systematic rows parallel to the c axes. It has since been extended to cases of two and three-dimensional diffraction with overlapping orders. The limits to fringe visibility imposed by diffuse scattering has been overcome by energy-filtering the results using a Gatan imaging filter. The results are recorded by a CCD array and ethernet to an interactive graphics terminal for routine phase evaluation.

The phases measured are the relative phases of adjoining diffracted waves. In the case of crystals which are thin enough these phases are equal to those of the structure factors associated with the reflections. In general, however, dynamical diffraction theory is required to extract accurate structural information from the data. Fortunately, efficient routines are under development which should make this task straightforward. Computer simulations have already been generated as a function of specimen thickness to investigate the way in which dynamical phase changes enter into particular overlap regions.

PS-02.04.08 STRUCTURE FACTOR DETERMINATION FROM ENERGY-FILTERED CBED PATTERNS. By R. Høier¹, R. Holmestad¹ and K. Marthinsen², ¹Dept. of Physics, Univ. of Trondheim-NTH and ²SINTEF Applied Physics, N-7034 Trondheim, Norway.

Based on the strong parameter dependencies that govern the complicated 2-D intensity distributions in convergent beam electron diffraction (CBED) disks, particularly in the non-systematic many-beam case, the present group has over the last years aimed at developing a general method for accurate determination of structure factor amplitudes and phases from CBED. It involves pixel by pixel comparisons between calculated and digitized experimental patterns and multiparameter minimalization of a fit index to a global minimum. In order to minimize necessary computer time the multiparameter minimalization is carried out through the use of conjugate gradient methods.

From a detailed study of the behaviour of the fit index near the global minimum for a 7-parameter minimalization in InP, an accuracy of approximately 1% seems achievable with unfiltered film based data.

The main factor which limits the accuracy is the diffuse background which appears in the experimental patterns, due to phonon, plasmon and single-electron excitations. This background is difficult to take properly care of in the simulations and can only be removed rather qualitatively and crudely from unfiltered data. This emphasize the need for energy filtered experimental intensities. In our laboratory 2-D energy filtered CBED patterns may be obtained in the 0-300 keV range with a modified PEELS system. The modification is so that besides normal operation the whole detector assembly may be rotated 90° about a horizontal axis. In this mode the diode array records a one-dimensional energy filtered line profile of

1024 pixels across the CBED disk. A 2-D energy filtered image is obtained by deflecting the CBED patterns across the spectrometer entrance slit, acquiring one line at a time. Relative to film registration this method strongly increases the accuracy of the input data for the structure parameter determination. Electron structure factor phases and amplitudes may be determined with a typical accuracy of less than 1° and 0.5%, respectively.

PS-02.04.09 ELECTRON CRYSTALLOGRAPHY OF INORGANIC STRUCTURES AT 1Å RESOLUTION - A CHALLENGE TO X-RAY CRYSTALLOGRAPHY. By X. D. Zou* and S. Hovmöller, Structural Chemistry, Stockholm University, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

Electron crystallography is a technique for determining crystal structures from high resolution electron microscopy (HREM) images and electron diffraction (ED) patterns. Advantages of using this technique are: both amplitudes and phases of structure factors are already present in images and very small crystals (<0.5µm) can be used. A preliminary structure model can be deduced from the HREM images by the CRISP crystallographic image processing (CIP) system (Hovmöller S., *Ultramicroscopy* **41** (1992) 121-135).

Usually HREM images have a resolution of less than 2Å, while ED extends beyond 1 Å resolution. A complete 3D ED data can be collected by tilting the crystal. It is generally considered that ED intensities can not be used for structure refinement because of the strong dynamic scattering. However, we have found that for large structures (cell dimensions >10 Å), the ED amplitudes may be close to the X-ray diffraction structure factors (R-value < 25% for Ba₃Nb₁₅O₃₀), especially when they are obtained from very thin crystals. The amount of reflections in ED is so large that it should be possible to use them for refining structures to high resolution. Another reason why ED is not very much used for structure determination is the difficulty in obtaining quantitative ED data.

We have developed a program system called ELD for extracting intensities from ED patterns (Zou, X.D., Sukharev, Y. and Hovmöller, S., *Ultramicroscopy* **49**, 1993, in press). The system works on a personal computer. ED patterns are digitized by a CCD camera and transferred to the PC via a frame grabber. ELD, together with CRISP and other programs, aims at making electron crystallography a routine method for structure determination, challenging X-ray crystallography in accuracy, but possible to use for very much smaller crystals.