

**MS03.01.05 VRML AS A TOOL FOR EXPLORING COMPLEX STRUCTURES.** A. Le Bail, Laboratoire des Fluorures, URA CNRS 449, Université du Maine, 72017 Le Mans, France.

Exploring a crystal structure from the inside and really understanding it: the Virtual Reality Modelling Language (1) allows this and much more. Simple applications can readily be produced without specialist knowledge. Use your favourite drawing program, decide what you would like to see (select atoms), convert your data into a standard .wrl file and then view the result on almost any platform. A PDB (Protein Data Bank) to VRML converter already exists (2). The whole operation (apart from PDB2VRML which is UNIX only) can even be carried out on just a fast PC although graphics workstations improve productivity. For inorganic structures an excellent procedure is to convert SHELX .ins into .pdb files using BABEL (3), select those atoms suitable for the display required, verify the result with RASMOL (4) and finally create automatically the .wrl file with PDB2VRML. A change in the pre-defined radius and colors can be undertaken by editing the ASCII .wrl file. A more direct builder is xtal-3d (5) for UNIX platforms, a PC adaptation is planned.

The most exciting moment during the course of a crystal structure determination occurs when enough atomic positions are known to be able to draw at least a partial model. The less exciting is when it is realized that the structure is so complex that it will be necessary to build a real 3D paper (or ball and stick) model in order to be sure that nothing has been overlooked in the structure description. VRML can help one to do almost the whole job in a few minutes starting from standard drawing files. Visual applications are limited only by one's own imagination. For the specialist it is feasible to create 3D crystallographic scenarios, calling objects stored at different URLs on the net. The real usefulness to crystallography of 3D mark-up is an open question.

1. J.C. Hardenbergh, [http://www.oki.com/vrml/VRML\\_FAQ.html](http://www.oki.com/vrml/VRML_FAQ.html)
2. H. Vollhardt, <http://ws05.pc.chemie.th-darmstadt.de/vrml/pdb2vrml.html>
3. P. Walters & M. Stahl, <http://mercury.aicem.arizona.edu/babel.html>
4. R. Sayle, <ftp://ftp.dcs.ed.ac.uk/pub/rasmol>
5. M. Hewat, [http://193.49.43.3/dif/3D\\_crystals.html](http://193.49.43.3/dif/3D_crystals.html)

## Computing II General Advances & Application

**MS03.02.01 PHASE IMPROVEMENT AND EXTENSION IN PROTEIN CRYSTALLOGRAPHY.** Giuseppe Zanotti<sup>a</sup>, Dritan Siliqi<sup>b</sup> and Carmelo Giacovazzo<sup>b</sup>, <sup>a</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Centro Studi Biopolimeri, Via Marzolo 1, 35131 Padova, Italy, <sup>b</sup>Dip. Geomineralogico, U. di Bari, Via Orabona, Bari, Italy.

In protein structure solution with the MIR technique, very often the isomorphism of heavy-atom derivatives does not extend beyond 3 Å resolution or so. Usually, a set of phases obtained with the isomorphous replacement method must be improved further, in order to obtain an interpretable electron density map. In the single isomorphous replacement (SIR) approach, this is even a *conditio sine qua non*. Two methods aimed to overcome these problems will be discussed.

In the first, single isomorphous replacement techniques are integrated with direct methods in order to solve the ambiguity of the phase<sup>1</sup>.

The second consists of a procedure of phase extension and improvement, based on discrete Hilbert transforms<sup>2</sup>. This proce-

dures is based on a completely different principle from those previously described and has the advantage of being absolutely model-independent. The method has been tested using simulated diffraction data of a small molecule and of a protein crystal. Starting from a randomly incomplete set of correct phases, it allows calculation of the unknown phases. Moreover, a set of phases affected by a mean phase error of  $\pm 90^\circ$  can be improved to a mean error of  $\pm 25^\circ$ , if the correct figures of merit for the reflections are known. The performance and the limitations of the technique, as well as the perspectives for further developments, will be discussed.

### References

1. C. Giacovazzo, D. Siliqi, J.G. Platas, H.J. Hecht, G. Zanotti and B. York "The Crystal Structure Solution of Proteins by Direct Methods. VI. Complete Phasing up to Derivative Resolution" Acta Cryst. D, 1996, in press (and references therein).
2. G. Zanotti, F. Fogale and G. Capitani "The use of Discrete Hilbert Transforms in Phase-Extension and Improvement", Acta Cryst., submitted

**MS03.02.02 SOFTWARE FOR LATTICE IDENTIFICATION IN G-6.** Herbert J. Bernstein, Bernstein+Sons, 5 Brewster Lane, Bellport, NY 11713-2803, USA and Lawrence C. Andrews, Thuridion S.E., 269 Mt. Hermon Rd., Scotts Valley, CA 95066 USA

We present the current state of software for Bravais lattice identification in G-6, based on a Fortran program which will accept experimental cell information on-line or from mmCIF or PDB format data files.

The coordinate system of G-6, defined by (a,a), (b,b), (c,c), 2(b,c), 2(a,c), 2(b,c) is one in which a meaningful measure for quality of lattice identification is provided by Euclidean distances from appropriate linear subspaces. A modest combinatorial complexity is introduced by the need to evaluate multiple alternative distances not only from a given cell, but from nearby nearly-reduced cells. If the original reduced cell is not highly skewed the identification of a minimal distance requires only one to two shells of operations from the group of reduction transformations.

**MS03.02.03 A LOCAL MACROMOLECULAR STRUCTURE DATABASE FOR CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC LABORATORIES.** Philip E. Bourne and Ilya N. Shindyalov, San Diego Supercomputer Center, PO Box 85608, San Diego CA 921869784

As the number of macromolecular structures continues to grow exponentially, the need for a compact, easy to load and easy to query laboratory based database system would seem important. Such a database should be capable of loading all or a subset of the structures found in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) as well as maintaining local data which is in PDB format. The ideal system should contain native and derived data, should run on a variety of Unix platforms and should have a Web-based graphical interface to query the database. This paper reports on the design, capabilities and availability of such a database system. The San Diego Supercomputer Center (SDSC) version of the database is available via the World Wide Web on multiple servers (<http://www.sdsc.edu/moose>) and is within 24 hours of being current with the PDB native distribution as found in the PDB ftp archives. Using similar compression algorithms as found in WPDB [1] reduces data storage requirements 10 fold over native data without any loss of precision and also includes additional derived data. Apart from the obvious types of queries based on authors, protein names and other basic information, queries can be made with respect to characteristics of the polypeptide chain, for example, sequence patterns (with gaps), patterns of secondary structure elements,