

MS29-P03 | COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT STRATEGIES FOR MODELLING HYDROGEN ATOMS IN CHARGE-DENSITY ANALYSES

Köhler, Christian (University of Göttingen, Göttingen, GER); Lübben, Jens (University of Göttingen, Göttingen, GER); Krause, Lennard (Aarhus University, Aarhus, DNK); Hoffmann, Christina (Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, USA); Herbst-Irmer, Regine (University of Göttingen, Göttingen, GER); Stalke, Dietmar (University of Göttingen, Göttingen, GER)

The quality of five approximation methods to model anisotropic displacement parameters (ADPs) for hydrogen atoms was investigated in a comparative study based on two model compounds [1]. Hydrogen atom parameters and structural properties derived from our collected neutron data sets of these compounds were compared with those obtained from the SHADE-server [2], the software APD-Toolkit [3], the results from Hirshfeld atom refinement conducted in the OLEX2 GUI (HARt) [4], and the results of anisotropic hydrogen refinement within XD2016 [5]. Surprisingly, the refinement of anisotropic hydrogen displacement parameters against the X-ray data yielded the smallest deviations from the neutron values. The refinement of bond-directed quadrupole parameters turned out to be vital for the quality of the resulting ADPs [6].

[1] Köhler, C., Lübben, J., Krause, L., Hoffmann, C., Herbst-Irmer, R., Stalke, D. (2018). *Acta Cryst. B.*, B75, in production.

[2] Madsen, A. Ø. & Hoser, A. A. (2014). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 47, 2100–2104.

[3] Lübben, J., Bourhis, L. J. & Dittrich, B. (2015). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 48, 1785–1793.

[4] Fugel, M., Jayatilaka, D., Hupf, E., Overgaard, J., Hathwar, V. R., Macchi, P., Turner, M. J., Howard, J. A. K., Dolomanov, O. V., Puschmann, H., Iversen, B. B., Bürgi, H.-B. & Grabowsky, S. (2018). *IUCrJ.* 5, 32–44.

[5] Volkov, A., Macchi, P., Farrugia, L. J., Gatti, C., Mallinson, P. R., Richter, T. & Koritsanszky, T. (2016). XD2016.

[6] Roversi, P. & Destro, R. (2004). *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 386, 472–478.