

**11,18-Diphenoxy-3,26-dioxa-13,16-diazahexacyclo[26.2.2.0<sup>4,9</sup>.0<sup>10,13</sup>.0<sup>16,19</sup>.0<sup>20,25</sup>]-dotriaconta-1(31),4,6,8,20,22,24,28(32),29-nonaene-12,17-dione. Corrigendum****D. Gayathri,<sup>a</sup> D. Latha,<sup>b</sup> D. Velmurugan,<sup>a\*</sup> K. Ravikumar<sup>c</sup> and M. Arumugam<sup>d</sup>**

<sup>a</sup>Department of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, <sup>b</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, <sup>c</sup>Laboratory of X-ray Crystallography, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India

Correspondence e-mail: d\_velu@yahoo.com

In the paper by Gayathri, Latha, Velmurugan, Ravikumar & Arumugam [*Acta Cryst.* (2006), E62, o3082–o3084], the title and chemical diagram are incorrect. The correct systematic name for the title compound is ‘11,18-Diphenoxy-3,26-dioxa-13,16-diazahexacyclo[26.3.1.0<sup>4,9</sup>.0<sup>10,13</sup>.0<sup>16,19</sup>.0<sup>20,25</sup>]dotriaconta-1(31),4,6,8,20,22,24,28(32),29-nonaene-12,17-dione’ and the correct chemical diagram is given below.

Received 31 July 2006  
Accepted 1 August 2006