

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## 2-Fluoro-4-(methoxycarbonyl)benzoic acid

Carl E. Wagner<sup>a\*</sup> and Thomas L. Groy<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup>Division of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Arizona State University, West Campus, Glendale, AZ 85306, USA, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-1604, USA

Correspondence e-mail: carl.wagner@asu.edu


Received 4 July 2010; accepted 11 August 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 296$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.066;  $wR$  factor = 0.190; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.0.

In the crystal of the title compound,  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_7\text{FO}_4$ , classical carboxylate inversion dimers are linked by pairs of  $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  hydrogen bonds. The packing is consolidated by  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{F}$  and  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  interactions. The benzene ring and the methoxycarbonyl group are nearly coplanar, with a dihedral angle of  $1.5$  ( $3$ )° between them, whereas the carboxyl group has a dihedral angle of  $20.2$  ( $4$ )° with respect to the benzene ring.

## Related literature

For background to the applications of the title compound, see: Jiang *et al.* (2008); Sakaki *et al.* (2007). For related structures, see: Wagner *et al.* (2009).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

 $\text{C}_9\text{H}_7\text{FO}_4$   
 $M_r = 198.15$ 

 Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$   
 $a = 7.536$  (7) Å

 $b = 7.591$  (7) Å  
 $c = 8.523$  (8) Å  
 $\alpha = 99.480$  (14)°  
 $\beta = 108.748$  (13)°  
 $\gamma = 99.240$  (14)°  
 $V = 443.3$  (7) Å<sup>3</sup>
 $Z = 2$   
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 0.13$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 296$  K  
 $0.25 \times 0.19 \times 0.08$  mm

## Data collection

 Bruker SMART APEX CCD  
 diffractometer  
 Absorption correction: multi-scan  
 (SADABS; Bruker, 2008)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.969$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.990$ 

 2526 measured reflections  
 1535 independent reflections  
 1025 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.025$ 

## Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.066$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.190$   
 $S = 1.02$   
 1535 reflections

 128 parameters  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.24$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.22$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{C9}-\text{H9A}\cdots\text{F1}^i$	0.96	2.54	3.278 (5)	134 (1)
$\text{O2}^{ii}-\text{H2A}^{ii}\cdots\text{O1}$	0.82	1.86	2.672 (4)	170 (1)
$\text{C3}-\text{H3A}\cdots\text{O3}^{iii}$	0.93	2.53	3.325 (4)	144 (1)

 Symmetry codes: (i)  $x-1, y-1, z-1$ ; (ii)  $-x+2, -y+3, -z+1$ ; (iii)  $-x, -y+2, -z+1$ .

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2008); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2008); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: XSELL (Bruker, 2004); software used to prepare material for publication: APEX2.

We thank the Chemistry Division of the National Science Foundation for financial support of this work (Grant CHE-0741978).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PB2036).

## References

- Bruker (2004). XSELL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA  
 Bruker (2008). APEX2, SADABS and SAINT-Plus. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.  
 Jiang, X., Lee, G. T., Prasad, K. & Repic, O. (2008). *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **12**, 1137–1141.  
 Sakaki, J., Kishida, M., Konishi, K., Gunji, H., Toyao, A., Matsumoto, Y., Kanazawa, T., Uchiyama, H., Fukaya, H., Mitani, H., Arai, Y. & Kimura, M. (2007). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **17**, 4804–4807.  
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.  
 Wagner, C. E. *et al.* (2009). *J. Med. Chem.* **52**, 5950–5966.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2010). E66, o2340 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536810032253]

**2-Fluoro-4-(methoxycarbonyl)benzoic acid****Carl E. Wagner and Thomas L. Groy****S1. Comment**

The title compound, 4-(methoxycarbonyl)-2-fluorobenzoic acid, has recently been used to prepare novel diazepinyl-benzoic acid retinoid-X-receptor antagonists (Jiang *et al.*, 2008; Sakaki *et al.*, 2007) as potential oral anti-obesity and anti-diabetic treatments as well as novel retinoid-X-receptor agonists with potential to treat various human cancers. Thus, the X-ray diffraction data of the present study confirms the fluorine locus for 4-(methoxycarbonyl)-2-fluorobenzoic acid.

The structure consists of sheets parallel to (2 $\bar{1}$ 2) stabilized by six intermolecular hydrogen interactions per molecule as shown in Table 1. The benzene ring and the methoxycarbonyl group are essentially coplanar as shown by the 1.51 (25) $^{\circ}$  dihedral angle between the two planes. However, the carboxylic acid is not coplanar with the benzene ring, as shown by the 20.18 (36) $^{\circ}$  dihedral angle between those two planes.

**S2. Experimental**

The method of Sakaki and co-workers (Sakaki *et al.*, 2007) was followed to synthesize (**1**). To a flask containing 3-fluoro-4-formylmethylbenzoate (Wagner *et al.*, 2009) (9.22 g, 50.5 mmol) and sulfamic acid (5.40 g, 55.6 mmol) in water (21 ml) and ACN (42 ml) was slowly added a solution of 80% NaClO<sub>2</sub> (4.92 g, 53.8 mmol) in water (21 ml) at room temperature. After being stirred for 1 h, the reaction solution was added to a saturated, aqueous solution of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (75 ml) and 1 N HCl (150 ml), and the resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (75 ml) three times. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and the solvents were removed *in vacuo* to give crude (**1**) (7.56 g, 75%) as a white solid. A small sample was crystallized from hot ethyl acetate to give pure (**1**) as white crystals, m.p. 154–155  $^{\circ}$ C: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  10.5 (br s, 1H), 8.10 (t,  $J$  = 7.8, 1H), 7.89 (d,  $J$  = 8.2, 1H), 7.82 (d,  $J$  = 11.0, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.6, 168.5, 165.0, 164.9, 163.4, 160.8, 136.7, 136.6, 132.8, 124.9, 124.8, 121.3, 121.2, 118.4, 118.1, 52.8; LC-APCI-MS ( $M^+$ ) calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>4</sub>F 198.0328, found 198.0331.

**S3. Refinement**

H atoms were placed geometrically and allowed to refine as atoms riding on their bonding partners. The hydrogen was placed on the carboxylic acid based on the longer of the carboxylic acid carbon-oxygen bonds.





Figure 1

Labeled thermal ellipsoid plot of **(1)** shown at the 50% probability level for all non-H atoms.



**Figure 2**

Molecular pair of **(1)** shown at the 50% probability level for all non-H atoms illustrating classical intermolecular centrosymmetric carboxylic acid hydrogen bonding interactions.




Figure 3

Packing diagram of (1) shown at the 50% probability level for all non-H atoms showing the alternating molecular orientations in two adjacent layers.

### 2-Fluoro-4-(methoxycarbonyl)benzoic acid

#### Crystal data

$C_9H_7FO_4$

$M_r = 198.15$

Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: -P 1

$a = 7.536$  (7) Å

$b = 7.591$  (7) Å

$c = 8.523$  (8) Å

$\alpha = 99.480$  (14)°

$\beta = 108.748$  (13)°

$\gamma = 99.240$  (14)°

$V = 443.3$  (7) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 204$

$D_x = 1.484$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Melting point: 427 K

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 51 reflections

$\theta = 4.5$ – $11.9$ °

$\mu = 0.13$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 296$  K

Plate, colourless

$0.25 \times 0.19 \times 0.08$  mm

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD  
diffractometer

Radiation source: sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

$\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2008)

$T_{\min} = 0.969$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.990$

2526 measured reflections

1535 independent reflections

1025 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.025$

$\theta_{\max} = 25.0$ °,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.6$ °

$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$

$k = -9 \rightarrow 8$

$l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

*Refinement*

Refinement on  $F^2$   
 Least-squares matrix: full  
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.066$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.190$   
 $S = 1.02$   
 1535 reflections  
 128 parameters  
 0 restraints  
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
 direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
 map  
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from  
 neighbouring sites  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.P)^2 + 0.1145P]$   
 where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger. H atoms were placed geometrically and allowed to refine as atoms riding on their bonding partners. The hydrogen was placed on the carboxylic acid based on the longer of the carboxylic acid carbon-oxygen bonds.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
F1	0.4739 (2)	1.3542 (2)	0.6014 (2)	0.0629 (5)
O1	0.8299 (2)	1.4232 (4)	0.5841 (2)	0.0672 (7)
O2	0.8296 (2)	1.3413 (2)	0.3192 (2)	0.0716 (8)
H2A	0.9326	1.4171	0.3603	0.107*
O3	-0.1230 (4)	0.8633 (4)	0.2593 (2)	0.0798 (9)
O4	-0.0485 (2)	0.7256 (2)	0.0437 (2)	0.0582 (7)
C1	0.5568 (4)	1.2070 (4)	0.3734 (2)	0.0453 (7)
C2	0.4248 (4)	1.2212 (4)	0.4562 (2)	0.0464 (7)
C3	0.2443 (4)	1.1083 (4)	0.3967 (2)	0.0479 (8)
H3A	0.1607	1.1227	0.4553	0.057*
C4	0.1871 (4)	0.9720 (4)	0.2478 (2)	0.0437 (7)
C5	0.3166 (4)	0.9507 (4)	0.1625 (2)	0.0495 (8)
H5A	0.2807	0.8584	0.0642	0.059*
C6	0.4976 (5)	1.0670 (4)	0.2248 (4)	0.0527 (8)
H6A	0.5819	1.0523	0.1669	0.063*
C7	0.7527 (4)	1.3333 (4)	0.4321 (4)	0.0503 (8)
C8	-0.0112 (4)	0.8498 (4)	0.1877 (4)	0.0491 (8)
C9	-0.2360 (5)	0.5972 (5)	-0.0216 (4)	0.0692 (10)
H9A	-0.2482	0.5131	-0.1238	0.104*
H9B	-0.3359	0.6639	-0.0462	0.104*
H9C	-0.2474	0.5303	0.0623	0.104*

Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
F1	0.0579 (11)	0.0628 (13)	0.0540 (10)	-0.0020 (9)	0.0211 (9)	-0.0111 (8)
O1	0.0526 (15)	0.0782 (17)	0.0532 (13)	-0.0072 (11)	0.0119 (10)	0.0038 (11)
O2	0.0590 (16)	0.0765 (18)	0.0690 (15)	-0.0133 (11)	0.0303 (11)	0.0019 (11)
O3	0.0532 (16)	0.088 (2)	0.0828 (17)	-0.0110 (13)	0.0346 (13)	-0.0154 (14)
O4	0.0485 (14)	0.0591 (14)	0.0525 (11)	-0.0015 (10)	0.0137 (10)	-0.0038 (10)
C1	0.0435 (17)	0.0453 (17)	0.0477 (15)	0.0102 (14)	0.0161 (13)	0.0123 (13)
C2	0.0485 (18)	0.0445 (17)	0.0414 (14)	0.0071 (13)	0.0153 (13)	0.0026 (11)
C3	0.0447 (18)	0.051 (2)	0.0470 (15)	0.0086 (14)	0.0202 (13)	0.0045 (13)
C4	0.0431 (17)	0.0430 (16)	0.0434 (15)	0.0074 (13)	0.0149 (13)	0.0091 (11)
C5	0.050 (2)	0.0467 (18)	0.0490 (16)	0.0076 (14)	0.0208 (14)	0.0017 (13)
C6	0.050 (2)	0.056 (2)	0.0535 (17)	0.0099 (15)	0.0243 (14)	0.0063 (14)
C7	0.049 (2)	0.0493 (18)	0.0510 (17)	0.0095 (14)	0.0168 (15)	0.0105 (14)
C8	0.0432 (18)	0.0511 (18)	0.0490 (16)	0.0079 (14)	0.0156 (14)	0.0055 (13)
C9	0.052 (2)	0.062 (2)	0.069 (2)	-0.0055 (17)	0.0060 (16)	-0.0018 (17)

Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

F1—C2	1.364 (3)	C3—C4	1.393 (4)
O1—C7	1.257 (3)	C3—H3A	0.93
O2—C7	1.278 (4)	C4—C5	1.405 (4)
O2—H2A	0.82	C4—C8	1.504 (4)
O3—C8	1.197 (4)	C5—C6	1.384 (4)
O4—C8	1.336 (4)	C5—H5A	0.93
O4—C9	1.460 (4)	C6—H6A	0.93
C1—C2	1.400 (4)	C9—H9A	0.96
C1—C6	1.405 (4)	C9—H9B	0.96
C1—C7	1.504 (4)	C9—H9C	0.96
C2—C3	1.372 (4)		
C7—O2—H2A	109.5	C4—C5—H5A	120.0
C8—O4—C9	115.8 (2)	C5—C6—C1	121.4 (3)
C2—C1—C6	116.8 (3)	C5—C6—H6A	119.3
C2—C1—C7	124.1 (3)	C1—C6—H6A	119.3
C6—C1—C7	119.2 (2)	O1—C7—O2	124.2 (3)
F1—C2—C3	117.5 (2)	O1—C7—C1	119.9 (3)
F1—C2—C1	119.5 (3)	O2—C7—C1	115.9 (3)
C3—C2—C1	122.9 (3)	O3—C8—O4	124.0 (3)
C2—C3—C4	119.5 (3)	O3—C8—C4	124.0 (3)
C2—C3—H3A	120.2	O4—C8—C4	112.0 (2)
C4—C3—H3A	120.2	O4—C9—H9A	109.5
C3—C4—C5	119.4 (3)	O4—C9—H9B	109.5
C3—C4—C8	117.9 (2)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C5—C4—C8	122.7 (3)	O4—C9—H9C	109.5
C6—C5—C4	120.0 (3)	H9A—C9—H9C	109.5
C6—C5—H5A	120.0	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5

*Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>
C9—H9 <i>A</i> $\cdots$ F1 <sup>i</sup>	0.96	2.54	3.278 (5)	134 (1)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —H2 <i>A</i> <sup>iii</sup> $\cdots$ O1	0.82	1.86	2.672 (4)	170 (1)
C3—H3 <i>A</i> $\cdots$ O3 <sup>iii</sup>	0.93	2.53	3.325 (4)	144 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x-1, y-1, z-1$ ; (ii)  $-x+2, -y+3, -z+1$ ; (iii)  $-x, -y+2, -z+1$ .