

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Bis[*N*-(2-furylmethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine]bis(perchlorato)copper(II)

 Wei Xiao,<sup>a</sup> Shi-Rong Li,<sup>b</sup> Hong Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Zhi-Quan Pan<sup>a\*</sup> and Qimao Huang<sup>a</sup>
<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory for Green Chemical Processes of the Ministry of Education, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan, 430073, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Hubei Key Laboratory of Biologic Resources Protection and Utilization, Hubei Institute for Nationalities, Enshi, 44500, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zhiqpan@163.com


Received 23 March 2011; accepted 1 April 2011

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 291$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.006$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.049;  $wR$  factor = 0.112; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.5.

In the title complex,  $[\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2(\text{C}_7\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{O})_2]$ , the Cu(II) ion lies on a crystallographic inversion centre. The coordination sphere around Cu(II) ion can be described as tetragonally distorted octahedral with two perchlorate O atoms occupying the apical positions and four N atoms from two *N*<sup>1</sup>-(2-furylmethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine ligands in the basal plane.

## Related literature

For copper complexes with polyamine ligands, see: Souza *et al.* (2009); Patra *et al.* (2007); Zhou *et al.* (2009). For the synthesis, see: Wang *et al.* (2009).



## Experimental

### Crystal data

$[\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2(\text{C}_7\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{O})_2]$   
 $M_r = 542.81$   
 Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$   
 $a = 9.736$  (8) Å  
 $b = 11.899$  (9) Å  
 $c = 9.466$  (7) Å  
 $\beta = 94.227$  (12)°

$V = 1093.6$  (14) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 2$   
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 1.30$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 291$  K  
 $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.22$  mm

### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer  
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.712$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.763$

5510 measured reflections  
 1914 independent reflections  
 1589 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$

### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.112$   
 $S = 1.07$   
 1914 reflections

142 parameters  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.26$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.70$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors would like to thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20871097 and 20971102) and the Foundation of the Excellent Middle-Young Innovation Group of the Education Department of Hubei Province, China (T200802).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NK2094).

## References

- Bruker (2007). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.  
 Patra, A. K., Nethaji, M. & Chakravarty, A. R. (2007). *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **101**, 233–244.  
 Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.  
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.  
 Souza, B., Bortoluzzi, A. J., Bortolotto, T., Fischer, F. L., Terenzi, H., Ferreira, D. E. C., Rocha, W. R. & Neves, A. (2009). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 2027–2035.  
 Wang, K. W., Qian, X. H. & Cui, J. N. (2009). *Tetrahedron*, **50**, 10377–10382.  
 Zhou, H., Chen, L., Chen, R., Peng, Z. H., Song, Y., Pan, Z. Q., Huang, Q. M., Hu, X. L. & Bai, Z. W. (2009). *CrystEngComm*, **11**, 671–679.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2011). E67, m701 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811012232]

**Bis[N-(2-furylmethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine]bis(perchlorato)copper(II)****Wei Xiao, Shi-Rong Li, Hong Zhou, Zhi-Quan Pan and Qimao Huang****S1. Comment**

Recently, study of copper complex with polyamine has been given considerable attention (Souza *et al.*, 2009; Patra *et al.*, 2007; Zhou *et al.*, 2009). In this paper, we report on the synthesis and the crystal structure determination of the title complex obtained by the reaction of  $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  with the polyamine ligand  $\text{N}^1$ -(furan-2-ylmethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine.


In the title complex,  $[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_4\text{O}_2)_2](\text{ClO}_4)_2$ , the Cu(II) ion lies on a crystallographic inversion centre. The coordination sphere around Cu(II) ion can be best described as slightly distorted octahedral. The basal plane is composed of four nitrogen atoms from the two polyamine ligands with the Cu-N distances of 2.001 (4) and 2.049 (4) Å. The apical positions are occupied by two oxygen atoms from two perchlorate anions with a Cu-O distance of 2.492 (4) Å.

**S2. Experimental**

$\text{N}^1$ -(furan-2-ylmethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine (L) was prepared according to the literature method (Wang *et al.*, 2009).  $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.25 mmol, 0.093 g) dissolved in 10ml  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was added dropwise to a solution of L (0.5 mmol, 0.071 g) in 10ml  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for about 12 h and filtrated. The light blue crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by the slow evaporation of the mother solution at ambient temperature for 3 weeks.

**S3. Refinement**

All H atoms for C-H distances were placed in calculated positions and included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with  $U(\text{H})$  set to  $-1.2U_{\text{eq}}$  of the parent atom.

**Figure 1**

A view of the title complex cation, with displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. H atoms are excluded for clarity. Unlabelled atoms are related to labelled atoms by inversion symmetry.

### Bis[*N*-(2-furylmethyl)ethane-1,2-diamine]bis(perchlorato)copper (II)

#### Crystal data

[Cu(CuO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]

$M_r = 542.81$

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: -P 2ybc

$a = 9.736$  (8) Å

$b = 11.899$  (9) Å

$c = 9.466$  (7) Å

$\beta = 94.227$  (12)°

$V = 1093.6$  (14) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 558$

$D_x = 1.648$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 1812 reflections

$\theta = 2.7$ – $22.6$ °

$\mu = 1.30$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 291$  K

Block, blue

$0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.22$  mm

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD  
diffractometer

Radiation source: sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

$\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)

$T_{\min} = 0.712$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.763$

5510 measured reflections

1914 independent reflections

1589 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$

$\theta_{\max} = 25.1$ °,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.7$ °

$h = -9 \rightarrow 11$

$k = -14 \rightarrow 12$

$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$

Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$

$wR(F^2) = 0.112$

$S = 1.07$

1914 reflections

142 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.05P)^2 + 1.33P]$

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.70 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.4721 (5)	0.3096 (4)	0.7859 (4)	0.0440 (10)
H1	0.3854	0.2781	0.7661	0.053*
C2	0.5127 (4)	0.3583 (4)	0.9007 (5)	0.0421 (10)
H2	0.4651	0.3609	0.9823	0.051*
C3	0.6429 (5)	0.4080 (4)	0.8837 (5)	0.0476 (11)
H3	0.6939	0.4531	0.9484	0.057*
C4	0.6766 (4)	0.3770 (4)	0.7570 (4)	0.0404 (10)
C5	0.8085 (4)	0.3823 (4)	0.6885 (4)	0.0417 (10)
H5A	0.8831	0.3906	0.7616	0.050*
H5B	0.8220	0.3116	0.6405	0.050*
C6	0.7106 (5)	0.4843 (4)	0.4717 (5)	0.0468 (11)
H6A	0.6259	0.4492	0.4970	0.056*
H6B	0.6924	0.5634	0.4536	0.056*
C7	0.7570 (5)	0.4316 (4)	0.3458 (5)	0.0466 (11)
H7A	0.6909	0.4462	0.2662	0.056*
H7B	0.7621	0.3509	0.3599	0.056*
C11	0.90322 (11)	0.80490 (9)	0.47487 (11)	0.0422 (3)
Cu1	1.0000	0.5000	0.5000	0.0320 (2)
N1	0.8171 (4)	0.4721 (3)	0.5882 (4)	0.0465 (9)
H1B	0.8199	0.5368	0.6377	0.056*
N2	0.8951 (4)	0.4749 (3)	0.3128 (4)	0.0451 (9)
H2A	0.8864	0.5396	0.2638	0.054*
H2B	0.9387	0.4245	0.2611	0.054*
O1	0.5768 (3)	0.3107 (3)	0.6947 (3)	0.0493 (8)
O2	0.9102 (3)	0.6962 (3)	0.4956 (3)	0.0493 (8)

O3	0.8334 (3)	0.8393 (2)	0.3418 (3)	0.0476 (8)
O4	1.0257 (3)	0.8481 (2)	0.4616 (3)	0.0462 (7)
O5	0.8431 (3)	0.8640 (2)	0.5750 (3)	0.0446 (7)

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.044 (3)	0.046 (2)	0.042 (2)	−0.018 (2)	0.0009 (19)	0.004 (2)
C2	0.041 (2)	0.045 (2)	0.042 (2)	0.0089 (19)	0.0103 (19)	0.0034 (19)
C3	0.048 (3)	0.049 (3)	0.048 (2)	−0.015 (2)	0.015 (2)	−0.009 (2)
C4	0.035 (2)	0.057 (3)	0.0306 (19)	−0.0051 (19)	0.0070 (17)	0.0011 (18)
C5	0.042 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.0078 (19)	0.0053 (19)	0.0011 (18)
C6	0.045 (3)	0.051 (3)	0.046 (2)	−0.006 (2)	0.012 (2)	0.019 (2)
C7	0.045 (3)	0.048 (3)	0.044 (2)	−0.012 (2)	−0.0140 (19)	0.012 (2)
C11	0.0397 (6)	0.0441 (6)	0.0442 (6)	0.0033 (4)	0.0113 (4)	−0.0060 (4)
Cu1	0.0324 (4)	0.0315 (4)	0.0333 (4)	−0.0005 (3)	0.0110 (3)	0.0024 (3)
N1	0.043 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.048 (2)	−0.0093 (17)	0.0127 (17)	0.0170 (17)
N2	0.043 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.048 (2)	−0.0126 (16)	−0.0073 (17)	−0.0004 (16)
O1	0.053 (2)	0.0482 (17)	0.0475 (17)	−0.0162 (15)	0.0109 (15)	−0.0149 (14)
O2	0.0527 (19)	0.0448 (18)	0.0530 (18)	0.0136 (14)	0.0218 (15)	0.0132 (14)
O3	0.0467 (17)	0.0419 (17)	0.0529 (18)	−0.0135 (14)	−0.0053 (14)	0.0056 (14)
O4	0.0469 (18)	0.0466 (17)	0.0474 (16)	−0.0021 (14)	0.0193 (14)	0.0084 (14)
O5	0.0430 (17)	0.0442 (16)	0.0467 (16)	0.0178 (14)	0.0040 (13)	−0.0015 (13)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

C1—C2	1.268 (6)	C6—H6B	0.9700
C1—O1	1.384 (5)	C7—N2	1.494 (6)
C1—H1	0.9300	C7—H7A	0.9700
C2—C3	1.419 (6)	C7—H7B	0.9700
C2—H2	0.9300	C11—O2	1.309 (3)
C3—C4	1.319 (6)	C11—O4	1.313 (3)
C3—H3	0.9300	C11—O5	1.348 (3)
C4—O1	1.352 (5)	C11—O3	1.446 (3)
C4—C5	1.482 (6)	Cu1—N2	2.001 (4)
C5—N1	1.436 (5)	Cu1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	2.001 (4)
C5—H5A	0.9700	Cu1—N1	2.049 (4)
C5—H5B	0.9700	Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.049 (4)
C6—C7	1.448 (6)	N1—H1B	0.9000
C6—N1	1.465 (6)	N2—H2A	0.9000
C6—H6A	0.9700	N2—H2B	0.9000
C2—C1—O1	109.5 (4)	N2—C7—H7B	109.4
C2—C1—H1	125.3	H7A—C7—H7B	108.0
O1—C1—H1	125.3	O2—C11—O4	111.3 (2)
C1—C2—C3	108.5 (4)	O2—C11—O5	115.5 (2)
C1—C2—H2	125.8	O4—C11—O5	107.9 (2)
C3—C2—H2	125.8	O2—C11—O3	115.2 (2)

C4—C3—C2	105.7 (4)	O4—C11—O3	100.24 (19)
C4—C3—H3	127.1	O5—C11—O3	105.3 (2)
C2—C3—H3	127.1	N2—Cu1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	180.000 (1)
C3—C4—O1	109.9 (4)	N2—Cu1—N1	86.25 (16)
C3—C4—C5	131.9 (4)	N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1	93.75 (16)
O1—C4—C5	116.9 (3)	N2—Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	93.75 (16)
N1—C5—C4	114.6 (4)	N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	86.25 (16)
N1—C5—H5A	108.6	N1—Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	180.0
C4—C5—H5A	108.6	C5—N1—C6	120.0 (4)
N1—C5—H5B	108.6	C5—N1—Cu1	119.0 (3)
C4—C5—H5B	108.6	C6—N1—Cu1	105.4 (3)
H5A—C5—H5B	107.6	C5—N1—H1B	107.1
C7—C6—N1	109.3 (4)	C6—N1—H1B	107.4
C7—C6—H6A	109.8	Cu1—N1—H1B	94.6
N1—C6—H6A	109.8	C7—N2—Cu1	106.0 (3)
C7—C6—H6B	109.8	C7—N2—H2A	110.5
N1—C6—H6B	109.8	Cu1—N2—H2A	110.5
H6A—C6—H6B	108.3	C7—N2—H2B	110.5
C6—C7—N2	111.2 (4)	Cu1—N2—H2B	110.5
C6—C7—H7A	109.4	H2A—N2—H2B	108.7
N2—C7—H7A	109.4	C4—O1—C1	105.8 (3)
C6—C7—H7B	109.4		
O1—C1—C2—C3	7.7 (5)	N2—Cu1—N1—C5	121.4 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-4.6 (5)	N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C5	-58.6 (3)
C2—C3—C4—O1	-0.5 (5)	N2—Cu1—N1—C6	-16.7 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-166.5 (5)	N2 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C6	163.3 (3)
C3—C4—C5—N1	-102.5 (6)	C6—C7—N2—Cu1	36.2 (4)
O1—C4—C5—N1	92.3 (5)	N1—Cu1—N2—C7	-9.8 (3)
N1—C6—C7—N2	-53.2 (5)	N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N2—C7	170.2 (3)
C4—C5—N1—C6	-54.1 (5)	C3—C4—O1—C1	4.9 (5)
C4—C5—N1—Cu1	173.9 (3)	C5—C4—O1—C1	173.3 (4)
C7—C6—N1—C5	-96.6 (5)	C2—C1—O1—C4	-7.9 (5)
C7—C6—N1—Cu1	41.1 (4)		

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x+2, -y+1, -z+1$ .