

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

N,N,N'-Tricyclohexylguanidinium iodide

 Farouq F. Said,^{a*} Basem F. Ali^{a*} and Darrin Richeson^b
^aDepartment of Chemistry, Al al-Bayt University, Mafraq 25113, Jordan, and

^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 6N5

Correspondence e-mail: fjuqqa@aabu.edu.jo, bfali@aabu.edu.jo


Received 15 November 2011; accepted 21 November 2011

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 188$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.013$ Å; R factor = 0.038; wR factor = 0.076; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.5.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_3^+\cdot\text{I}^-$, the orientation of the cyclohexyl rings around the planar (sum of $\text{N}-\text{C}-\text{N}$ angles = 360°) CN_3^+ unit produces steric hindrance around the $\text{N}-\text{H}$ groups. As a consequence of this particular orientation of the tricyclohexylguanidinium cation (hereafter denoted CHGH^+), hydrogen bonding is restricted to classical $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{I}$ and non-classical (cyclohexyl) $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{I}$ hydrogen bonds. The propeller CHGH^+ cation and the oriented hydrogen-bonding interactions lead to a three-dimensional supramolecular structure.

Related literature

For background to guanidines, see: Ishikawa & Isobe (2002); Moroni *et al.* (2001); Yoshiizumi *et al.* (1998). The title salt is isomorphous with the chloride anion-analogue (Cai & Hu, 2006) and *N,N,N'*-triisopropylguanidinium chloride (Said *et al.*, 2005). (Ishikawa & Isobe, 2002). The structural features and hydrogen-bonding array provided by guanidinium cations suggest them to be good building blocks for the formation of supramolecular entities, see: Said, Bazinet *et al.* (2006); Said, Ong *et al.* (2006). For bond-length data, see: Allen *et al.* (1987).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_3^+\cdot\text{I}^-$
 $M_r = 433.41$

 Cubic, $P2_13$
 $a = 12.893$ (4) Å
 $V = 2143$ (2) Å³
 $Z = 4$

 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 1.50$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 188$ K
 $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$ mm

Data collection

 Bruker P4 diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (SADABS; Bruker, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.271$, $T_{\max} = 0.320$
 2387 measured reflections
 802 independent reflections

 628 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.055$
 3 standard reflections every 97
 reflections
 intensity decay: none

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.076$
 $S = 1.04$
 802 reflections
 70 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.33$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.27$ e Å⁻³
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
 802 Friedel pairs
 Flack parameter: 0.08 (8)

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1A}\cdots\text{I1}^{\text{i}}$	0.86	2.86	3.693 (5)	165
$\text{C2}-\text{H2A}\cdots\text{I1}^{\text{ii}}$	0.98	3.03	3.950 (5)	158

 Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y - 1, z$; (ii) $-x + 2, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$.

Data collection: XSCANS (Bruker, 1996); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: XSCANS; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We would like to thank Dr Thomas Haas for his help in the analysis of the structure.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BQ2321).

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. S1–19.
- Bruker (1996). XSCANS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2005). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cai, X.-Q. & Hu, M.-L. (2006). *Acta Cryst. E* **62**, o1260–o1261.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst. A* **39**, 876–881.
- Ishikawa, T. & Isobe, T. (2002). *Chem. Eur. J.* **8**, 552–557.
- Moroni, M., Kokschi, B., Osipov, S. N., Crucianelli, M., Frigerio, M., Bravo, P. & Burger, K. (2001). *J. Org. Chem.* **66**, 130–133.
- Said, F. F., Bazinet, P., Ong, T. G., Yap, G. P. A. & Richeson, D. S. (2006). *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **6**, 258–266.
- Said, F. F., Ong, T. G., Bazinet, P., Yap, G. P. A. & Richeson, D. S. (2006). *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **6**, 1848–1857.
- Said, F. F., Ong, T. G., Yap, G. P. A. & Richeson, D. (2005). *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **5**, 1881–1888.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Yoshiizumi, K., Seko, N., Nishimura, N., Ikeda, S., Yoshino, K. & Kondo Kazutaka, H. (1998). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **8**, 3397–3402.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o3467 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536811049683]

N,N',N''-Tricyclohexylguanidinium iodide

Farouq F. Said, Basem F. Ali and Darrin Richeson

S1. Comment

Guanidines are of special interest due to their possible application in medicine (Yoshiizumi *et al.*, 1998; Moroni *et al.*, 2001). They are considered super bases as they are easily protonated to generate guanidinium cations (Ishikawa & Isobe, 2002). The structural features and hydrogen bonding array provided by these cations suggest that they are good building blocks for the formation of supramolecular entities (Said, Bazinet *et al.*, 2006, Said, Ong *et al.*, 2006, Said *et al.*, 2005).

The title compound (I), Fig. 1, is a typical *N,N',N''*-trisubstituted guanidinium halide salt with normal geometric parameters (Said *et al.*, 2005). The central guanidinium fragment of the cation of the title salt is planar [sum of NCN angles is 360°] with bond lengths and angles as expected for a central Csp^2 hybridization, accounting for charge delocalization between the three C—N bonds. The bond length C1—N1 [1.330 (5) Å] is comparable with literature averages for substituted and unsubstituted guanidinium cations (1.321 and 1.328 Å, respectively; (Allen *et al.*, 1987)). The cyclohexyl ring has the normal chair conformation with conventional bond lengths and angles. A partial packing diagram is shown in Fig. 2. The CHGH⁺ ions occur in chains, with the I⁻ anions arranged parallel to the cation chains. The cations and anions occur in a 3-fold array: three anions surround each cation [*via* its three N—H⋯I, 2.856 Å; (165°) and C—H⋯I (3.027 Å; 158°) interactions, Table 1, Fig. 3], and three cations surround each anion resulting in the formation of three-dimensional supramolecular structure. This type of supramolecular synthons has been observed frequently in other related compounds. The stability of this crystal lattice is evidenced by the crystallization of a whole series of isomorphous compounds of this type, such as *N,N',N''*-tricyclohexylguanidinium chloride (Cai & Hu, 2006), even with different substituents like *N,N',N''*-triisopropylguanidinium chloride (Said *et al.*, 2005).

S2. Experimental

General: *N,N',N''*-tricyclohexylguanidine was prepared according to literature methods. All other reagents were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company and used without further purification. Elemental analyses were run on a Perkin Elmer PE CHN 4000 elemental analysis system.

Synthesis and crystallization of *N,N',N''*-tricyclohexylguanidinium iodide, {C(HNcyclohexyl)₃}⁺I⁻

In a round bottom flask, a combination of 0.200 g (1.34 mmol) ammonium iodide and 0.41 g (1.34 mmol) *N,N',N''*-tricyclohexylguanidine were dissolved in 10 mL of distilled water. White precipitate of {C(HNcyclohexyl)₃}⁺I⁻ was deposited immediately of the solution (0.46 g, 92.0% yield). The product was crystallized from a mixture of methanol and distilled water to give white cubic crystals. In addition to confirming the molecular formula through elemental analysis, the solid obtained was examined by single-crystal X-ray analysis. **Anal.** Calcd for C₁₉H₃₆N₃: C, 52.65; H, 8.37; N, 9.70. Found C, 52.56; H, 8.63; N, 9.40.

S3. Refinement

Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and refined as riding on their parent atoms with C—H = 0.97 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ and N—H = 0.86 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$.




Figure 1

The structure of (I) with the guanidinium cation symmetry unique atoms are labeled. The other atoms are related by threefold rotation ($3/2 - z, 1 - x, 1/2 + y$ and $1 - y, -1/2 + y, 3/2 - x$).




Figure 2

A partial packing diagram of (I), showing the CHGH^+ cations and anions occur in a 3-fold array: three anions surround each cation and three cations surround each anion. Different colors and molecular rendering is used to clarify the arrangement.




Figure 3

The diagram showing one guanidinium cation and three anions in order to emphasize the orientation of the supramolecular synthon that results from hydrogen bonding array of three N—H...I and three C—H...I interactions.

N,N',N''-Tricyclohexylguanidinium iodide

Crystal data

$C_{19}H_{36}N_3^+I^-$

$M_r = 433.41$

Cubic, $P2_13$

Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab 3

$a = 12.893 (4) \text{ \AA}$

$V = 2143 (2) \text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 896$

$D_x = 1.343 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 30 reflections

$\theta = 3.9\text{--}6.9^\circ$

$\mu = 1.50 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 188 \text{ K}$

Block, colorless

$0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker P4

diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2005)

$T_{\min} = 0.271$, $T_{\max} = 0.320$

2387 measured reflections
 802 independent reflections
 628 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.055$
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.9^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.2^\circ$

$h = 0 \rightarrow 15$
 $k = 0 \rightarrow 15$
 $l = 0 \rightarrow 15$
 3 standard reflections every 97 reflections
 intensity decay: none

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.076$
 $S = 1.04$
 802 reflections
 70 parameters
 0 restraints
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
 direct methods
 Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
 map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from
 neighbouring sites
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0269P)^2 + 0.7683P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 802 Friedel
 pairs
 Absolute structure parameter: 0.08 (8)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
I1	0.89107 (4)	0.89107 (4)	0.89107 (4)	0.0589 (2)
N1	0.8894 (5)	0.1398 (4)	0.7489 (4)	0.0715 (16)
H1A	0.8803	0.0781	0.7728	0.086*
C1	0.8343 (6)	0.1657 (6)	0.6657 (6)	0.064 (3)
C2	0.9631 (6)	0.2045 (6)	0.8033 (6)	0.071 (2)
H2A	0.9812	0.2636	0.7591	0.086*
C3	0.9164 (6)	0.2440 (8)	0.9017 (8)	0.114 (4)
H3A	0.8951	0.1861	0.9448	0.137*
H3B	0.8556	0.2855	0.8862	0.137*
C4	0.9957 (9)	0.3091 (9)	0.9588 (11)	0.149 (5)
H4A	1.0115	0.3701	0.9177	0.179*
H4B	0.9660	0.3323	1.0239	0.179*
C5	1.0919 (7)	0.2525 (9)	0.9799 (7)	0.100 (3)
H5A	1.0780	0.1967	1.0285	0.120*
H5B	1.1419	0.2990	1.0116	0.120*
C6	1.1359 (5)	0.2091 (7)	0.8838 (7)	0.084 (2)
H6A	1.1957	0.1668	0.9009	0.101*
H6C	1.1593	0.2654	0.8397	0.101*

C7	1.0581 (6)	0.1441 (7)	0.8255 (7)	0.086 (3)
H7C	1.0885	0.1206	0.7608	0.103*
H7A	1.0404	0.0835	0.8663	0.103*

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
I1	0.0589 (2)	0.0589 (2)	0.0589 (2)	-0.0007 (3)	-0.0007 (3)	-0.0007 (3)
N1	0.084 (4)	0.060 (3)	0.070 (4)	-0.014 (4)	-0.026 (4)	0.014 (3)
C1	0.064 (3)	0.064 (3)	0.064 (3)	-0.012 (4)	-0.012 (4)	0.012 (4)
C2	0.082 (6)	0.071 (5)	0.061 (5)	-0.020 (5)	-0.024 (4)	0.010 (4)
C3	0.082 (7)	0.132 (8)	0.129 (9)	0.040 (6)	-0.022 (7)	-0.045 (8)
C4	0.129 (9)	0.147 (10)	0.172 (12)	0.014 (9)	-0.035 (9)	-0.102 (10)
C5	0.097 (7)	0.138 (8)	0.065 (5)	-0.021 (8)	-0.028 (6)	0.008 (6)
C6	0.064 (5)	0.090 (6)	0.098 (6)	-0.014 (4)	-0.005 (5)	0.007 (6)
C7	0.049 (4)	0.104 (7)	0.105 (6)	-0.010 (4)	-0.001 (5)	-0.026 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C1	1.330 (5)	C4—C5	1.465 (13)
N1—C2	1.446 (9)	C4—H4A	0.9700
N1—H1A	0.8600	C4—H4B	0.9700
C1—N1 ⁱ	1.330 (5)	C5—C6	1.473 (13)
C1—N1 ⁱⁱ	1.330 (5)	C5—H5A	0.9700
C2—C7	1.479 (10)	C5—H5B	0.9700
C2—C3	1.493 (11)	C6—C7	1.508 (10)
C2—H2A	0.9800	C6—H6A	0.9700
C3—C4	1.514 (13)	C6—H6C	0.9700
C3—H3A	0.9700	C7—H7C	0.9700
C3—H3B	0.9700	C7—H7A	0.9700
C1—N1—C2	126.7 (5)	C5—C4—H4B	109.1
C1—N1—H1A	116.7	C3—C4—H4B	109.1
C2—N1—H1A	116.7	H4A—C4—H4B	107.8
N1 ⁱ —C1—N1	119.99 (3)	C4—C5—C6	111.1 (8)
N1 ⁱ —C1—N1 ⁱⁱ	119.99 (3)	C4—C5—H5A	109.4
N1—C1—N1 ⁱⁱ	119.99 (3)	C6—C5—H5A	109.4
N1—C2—C7	109.5 (6)	C4—C5—H5B	109.4
N1—C2—C3	110.1 (7)	C6—C5—H5B	109.4
C7—C2—C3	110.4 (7)	H5A—C5—H5B	108.0
N1—C2—H2A	108.9	C5—C6—C7	112.0 (7)
C7—C2—H2A	108.9	C5—C6—H6A	109.2
C3—C2—H2A	108.9	C7—C6—H6A	109.2
C2—C3—C4	109.3 (8)	C5—C6—H6C	109.2
C2—C3—H3A	109.8	C7—C6—H6C	109.2
C4—C3—H3A	109.8	H6A—C6—H6C	107.9
C2—C3—H3B	109.8	C2—C7—C6	110.8 (7)
C4—C3—H3B	109.8	C2—C7—H7C	109.5

H3A—C3—H3B	108.3	C6—C7—H7C	109.5
C5—C4—C3	112.7 (8)	C2—C7—H7A	109.5
C5—C4—H4A	109.1	C6—C7—H7A	109.5
C3—C4—H4A	109.1	H7C—C7—H7A	108.1

Symmetry codes: (i) $-z+3/2, -x+1, y+1/2$; (ii) $-y+1, z-1/2, -x+3/2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ($\text{\AA}, ^\circ$)

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
N1—H1A \cdots I1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86	2.86	3.693 (5)	165
C2—H2A \cdots I1 ^{iv}	0.98	3.03	3.950 (5)	158

Symmetry codes: (iii) $x, y-1, z$; (iv) $-x+2, y-1/2, -z+3/2$.