

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[(μ_2 -2-aminopyrimidine- $\kappa^2N^1:N^3$)-di- μ_2 -chlorido-mercury(II)]

 Hossein Eshtiagh-Hosseini,^{a*} Zakieh Yousefi^a and Agnieszka Janiak^b
^aDepartment of Chemistry, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, 917791436 Mashhad, Iran, and ^bFaculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University, Grunwaldzka 6, 60-780 Poznań, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: heshtiagh@um.ac.ir


Received 8 February 2012; accepted 27 February 2012

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 294$ K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005$ Å; R factor = 0.020; wR factor = 0.049; data-to-parameter ratio = 19.1.

The title compound, $[HgCl_2(C_4H_5N_3)]_n$, features a two-dimensional network parallel to (001) that is based on an Hg^{II} atom octahedrally coordinated by four μ_2 -Cl atoms and two μ_2 -2-aminopyrimidine (apym) ligands in *trans* positions, yielding a distorted $HgCl_4N_2$ octahedron. The coordination network can be described as an uninodal 4-connected net with the sql topology. The Hg^{II} ion lies on a site of $\bar{1}$ symmetry and the apym ligand lies on sites of m symmetry with the mirror plane perpendicular to the pyrimidine plane and passing through the NH_2 group N atom. This polymeric structure is stabilized by $N-H \cdots Cl$ hydrogen bonds and columnar $\pi-\pi$ stacking of pyrimidine rings, with a centroid-centroid distance of 3.832 (2) Å.

Related literature

For pyridine complexes of mercury(II) halides see: Hu *et al.* (2007). For mercury(II) coordination polymers, see: Mahmoudi & Morsali (2009). For the same topological type of two-dimensional coordination networks, see: Nockemann & Meyer (2004); Xie & Wu (2007). For topological analysis, see: Blatov (2006). For an isotopic Cd^{II} complex, see: Salinas-Castillo *et al.* (2011). For our previous work on structures with an apym ligand, see: Eshtiagh-Hosseini *et al.* (2009, 2010, 2011).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[HgCl_2(C_4H_5N_3)]$	$V = 380.65$ (2) Å ³
$M_r = 366.60$	$Z = 2$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/m$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 3.8317$ (1) Å	$\mu = 20.84$ mm ⁻¹
$b = 14.1366$ (3) Å	$T = 294$ K
$c = 7.0773$ (2) Å	$0.45 \times 0.04 \times 0.02$ mm
$\beta = 96.814$ (2)°	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur E diffractometer	9437 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Agilent, 2011)	992 independent reflections
$T_{min} = 0.160$, $T_{max} = 1.000$	867 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{int} = 0.033$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$	52 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.049$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.12$	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.84$ e Å ⁻³
992 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.01$ e Å ⁻³

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Hg1—Cl1	2.3987 (8)	Hg1—Cl1 ⁱ	2.9881 (9)
Hg1—N2	2.618 (3)		
Cl1—Hg1—N2 ⁱⁱ	91.45 (7)	N2—Hg1—Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	92.95 (7)
Cl1—Hg1—N2	88.55 (7)	Cl1—Hg1—Cl1 ⁱ	90.00 (3)
N2 ⁱⁱ —Hg1—N2	180.0	N2—Hg1—Cl1 ⁱ	87.05 (7)

 Symmetry codes: (i) $x - 1, y, z$; (ii) $-x, -y + 1, -z$; (iii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z$.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H \cdots A$	$D-H$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-H \cdots A$
$N1-H1 \cdots Cl1^{iii}$	0.96	2.41	3.363 (3)	173

 Symmetry code: (iii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z$.

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2011); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO*; data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

The authors wish to thank the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad for financial support of this article.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GK2458).

References

- Agilent (2011). *CrysAlis PRO*. Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, England.
 Blatov, V. A. (2006). *IUCrCompComm Newsl.* **7**, 4–38.
 Eshtiagh-Hosseini, H., Mirzaei, M., Yousefi, Z., Puschmann, H., Shokrollahi, A. & Aghaei, R. (2011). *J. Coord. Chem.*, **64**, 3969–3979.
 Eshtiagh-Hosseini, H., Yousefi, Z. & Mirzaei, M. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **E65**, o2816.

- Eshtiagh-Hosseini, H., Yousefi, Z., Mirzaei, M., Chen, Y. G., Beyramabadi, S. A., Shokrollahi, A. & Aghaei, R. (2010). *J. Mol. Struct.* **973**, 1–8.
- Hu, Ch., Kalf, I. & Englert, U. (2007). *CrystEngComm*, **9**, 603–610.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 466–470.
- Mahmoudi, Gh. & Morsali, A. (2009). *CrystEngComm*, **11**, 1868–1879.
- Nockemann, P. & Meyer, G. (2004). *Acta Cryst.* **E60**, m744–m746.
- Salinas-Castillo, A., Calahorra, A. J., Choquesillo-Lazarte, D., Seco, J. M. & Rodriguez-Dieguez, A. (2011). *Polyhedron*, **30**, 1295–1298.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.
- Xie, Y.-M. & Wu, J.-H. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **C63**, m220–m221.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, m385–m386 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536812008793]

Poly[(μ_2 -2-aminopyrimidine- κ^2 N¹:N³)di- μ_2 -chlorido-mercury(II)]**Hossein Eshtiagh-Hosseini, Zakieh Yousefi and Agnieszka Janiak****S1. Comment**

Mercury with its d¹⁰ electronic configuration exhibits a wide range of geometry in coordination sphere giving rise to a variety of topological types of one-dimensional, two-dimensional and three-dimensional polymers (Mahmoudi & Morsali, 2009).

In this contribution, we have synthesized and characterized a two-dimensional framework containing [Hg(apym)Cl₂] unit in which Hg^{II} ion is six coordinated *via* four chloride anions and a two apym molecules (Fig. 1). Hg^{II} ion exhibits slightly distorted octahedral coordination geometry for which the maximum deviation of twelve octahedral angles from an ideal 90° for *cis* angles is 0.82 (7)°.

In the crystal structure, Hg^{II} ion lies on an inversion centre and the apym molecule lies on a special position of *m* site symmetry with mirror plane passing through an amino nitrogen. Hg^{II} ions are connected to each other by the bridging chloride ions in [100] direction; the separation between the two bridged Hg^{II} ions is 3.8317 (1) Å, and is shorter than that in [Hg(μ_2 -Cl)₂(C₇H₉N)₂(μ_2 HgCl)₃]_∞ [3.9960 (9) Å, Hu *et al.*, 2007]. The four-membered Hg₂Cl₂ ring is planar and contains pairs of long and short Hg—Cl bonds [Hg1—Cl1 2.9881 (9) Å & Hg1—Cl1 2.3987 (8) Å]. The apym molecule acts as a bidentate ligand that links two neighbouring Hg^{II} ions in the crystallographic *b* direction with separation Hg1...Hg1 distance of 7.0683 (3) Å, in consequence leading to formation of two-dimensional infinite framework with grid size of 7.068×3.832 Å² (Fig. 2). The topological type of this layer arrangement is sql {4⁴.6²} (Blatov, 2006). Similar two-dimensional neutral polymer consisting of mercury(II) ions bridged by both pyrazine and bromide ligands has been reported by Mahmoudi & Morsali (2009). The title compound is isostructural with its Cd analogue, reported by Salinas-Castillo *et al.* (2011).

It is noteworthy that in our previous works, apym either played a role of a counter ion for an anionic network or acted as an uncharged monodentate ligand (Eshtiagh-Hosseini *et al.*, 2009, 2010, 2011).

Another point of interest is the existence of N—H...Cl hydrogen bonds as well as columnar π – π interactions between pyrimidine rings of apym ligands which are arranged into stacks propagating in the *a* direction (see Fig. 2) with the perpendicular separation of 3.509 (2) Å and the centroid-to-centroid distance of 3.832 (2) Å.

S2. Experimental

To a solution of HgCl₂ (0.050 g, 0.2 mmol) in 10 ml of MeOH was added dropwise a solution of pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid (0.018 g, 0.1 mmol) in 10 ml of MeOH in the reflux condition. After 15 min, 2-aminopyrimidine (0.020 g, 0.2 mmol) was added as solid form, and the resultant solution stirred and refluxed for 12 h. After cooling the solution, a colourless needle-like crystals were obtained (yield: 70%).

S3. Refinement

H atoms bound to C atoms were placed in their idealized positions and were refined as riding on their parent atoms with C—H distance of 0.93 Å. The symmetry independent amine H-atom was first found in a difference Fourier map and then refined using a riding model with $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2U_{\text{iso}}(\text{N})$. The highest peak in the final electron density difference map is located 1.07 Å from Hg1 atom.




Figure 1

ORTEP view of coordination sphere of mercury (II). Symmetry code: (i) $x, 1.5 - y, z$; (ii) $-x, 1 - y, -z$; (iii) $-x, -0.5 + y, -z$; (iv) $1 + x, y, z$; (v) $1 - x, 1 - y, -z$; (vi) $-1 + x, y, z$; (vii) $-x, 1 - y, -z$. Displacement ellipsoids are given at the 50% probability level.




Figure 2

Representation of two-dimensional coordination polymer. Dashed lines denote intermolecular N—H...Cl hydrogen bonds. The columnar π - π stacking interactions are highlighted in pink.

Poly[(μ_2 -2-aminopyrimidine- $\kappa^2N^1:N^3$)di- μ_2 -chlorido-mercury(II)]

Crystal data

[HgCl₂(C₄H₅N₃)]

$M_r = 366.60$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/m$

Hall symbol: -P 2yb

$a = 3.8317$ (1) Å

$b = 14.1366$ (3) Å

$c = 7.0773$ (2) Å

$\beta = 96.814$ (2)°

$V = 380.65$ (2) Å³

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 328$

$D_x = 3.198$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 3794 reflections

$\theta = 2.9$ – 29.0 °

$\mu = 20.84$ mm⁻¹

$T = 294$ K

Needle, colourless

$0.45 \times 0.04 \times 0.02$ mm

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur E

diffractometer

Radiation source: Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source

Graphite monochromator

Detector resolution: 16.1544 pixels mm⁻¹

ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(*CrysAlis PRO*; Agilent, 2011)

$T_{\min} = 0.160$, $T_{\max} = 1.000$

9437 measured reflections

992 independent reflections

867 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.033$

$\theta_{\max} = 29.0$ °, $\theta_{\min} = 2.9$ °

$h = -5$ → 4

$k = -18$ → 19

$l = -9$ → 9

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$

$wR(F^2) = 0.049$

$S = 1.12$

992 reflections

52 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
 H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0245P)^2 + 0.3601P]$$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.84 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.01 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Hg1	0.0000	0.5000	0.0000	0.03124 (9)
Cl1	0.4453 (2)	0.44666 (6)	0.24361 (12)	0.02963 (19)
C1	0.0775 (12)	0.7500	0.0879 (7)	0.0249 (10)
N1	0.1926 (13)	0.7500	-0.0838 (7)	0.0355 (10)
H1	0.2972	0.6915	-0.1176	0.043*
N2	0.0202 (8)	0.6654 (2)	0.1677 (4)	0.0277 (6)
C4	-0.1355 (15)	0.7500	0.4342 (8)	0.0353 (12)
H4	-0.2054	0.7500	0.5556	0.042*
C3	-0.0821 (10)	0.6677 (3)	0.3413 (5)	0.0327 (8)
H3	-0.1185	0.6107	0.4016	0.039*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Hg1	0.02661 (13)	0.03241 (14)	0.03432 (14)	0.00086 (7)	0.00197 (9)	0.00342 (8)
Cl1	0.0305 (4)	0.0297 (4)	0.0287 (4)	0.0022 (3)	0.0036 (3)	0.0019 (4)
C1	0.023 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.028 (3)	0.000	0.000 (2)	0.000
N1	0.051 (3)	0.022 (2)	0.037 (3)	0.000	0.017 (2)	0.000
N2	0.0347 (16)	0.0219 (15)	0.0261 (15)	-0.0005 (12)	0.0014 (12)	0.0007 (12)
C4	0.043 (3)	0.040 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.000	0.007 (2)	0.000
C3	0.040 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.0280 (19)	-0.0055 (16)	0.0025 (16)	0.0036 (16)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Hg1—C11 ⁱ	2.3987 (8)	C1—N2	1.352 (4)
Hg1—C11	2.3987 (8)	C1—N2 ^v	1.352 (4)
Hg1—N2 ⁱ	2.618 (3)	N1—H1	0.9618
Hg1—N2	2.618 (3)	N2—C3	1.334 (5)
Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	2.9881 (9)	C4—C3	1.364 (5)
Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.9881 (9)	C4—C3 ^v	1.364 (5)
Cl1—Hg1 ^{iv}	2.9881 (9)	C4—H4	0.9300

C1—N1	1.340 (6)	C3—H3	0.9300
C11 ⁱ —Hg1—C11	180.00 (3)	Hg1—C11—Hg1 ^{iv}	90.00 (3)
C11 ⁱ —Hg1—N2 ⁱ	88.55 (7)	N1—C1—N2	117.7 (2)
C11—Hg1—N2 ⁱ	91.45 (7)	N1—C1—N2 ^v	117.7 (2)
C11 ⁱ —Hg1—N2	91.45 (7)	N2—C1—N2 ^v	124.5 (5)
C11—Hg1—N2	88.55 (7)	C1—N1—H1	114.7
N2 ⁱ —Hg1—N2	180.0	C3—N2—C1	116.3 (4)
C11 ⁱ —Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	90.00 (3)	C3—N2—Hg1	116.3 (2)
C11—Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	90.00 (3)	C1—N2—Hg1	126.8 (3)
N2 ⁱ —Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	87.05 (7)	C3—C4—C3 ^v	117.1 (5)
N2—Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	92.95 (7)	C3—C4—H4	121.4
C11 ⁱ —Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱⁱ	90.00 (3)	C3 ^v —C4—H4	121.4
C11—Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱⁱ	90.00 (3)	N2—C3—C4	122.9 (4)
N2 ⁱ —Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱⁱ	92.95 (7)	N2—C3—H3	118.6
N2—Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱⁱ	87.05 (7)	C4—C3—H3	118.6
C11 ⁱⁱ —Hg1—C11 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00 (3)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y+1, -z$; (ii) $-x+1, -y+1, -z$; (iii) $x-1, y, z$; (iv) $x+1, y, z$; (v) $x, -y+3/2, z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>
N1—H1...C11 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.41	3.363 (3)	173

Symmetry code: (ii) $-x+1, -y+1, -z$.