

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Tris(ethylenediamine- $\kappa^2N,N'$ )cadmium hexafluorogermanateGuo-Ming Wang,<sup>a\*</sup> Zeng-Xin Li<sup>b</sup> and Pei Wang<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Teachers College, College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Environment, Qingdao University, Shandong 266071, People's Republic of China, <sup>b</sup>Teachers College, Qingdao University, Shandong 266071, People's Republic of China, and <sup>c</sup>College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Environment, Qingdao University, Shandong 266071, People's Republic of China  
Correspondence e-mail: gmwang\_pub@163.com


Received 22 February 2012; accepted 6 March 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$  Å; disorder in main residue;  $R$  factor = 0.024;  $wR$  factor = 0.038; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.1.

In the title compound,  $[\text{Cd}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_8\text{N}_2)_3](\text{GeF}_6)$ , the  $\text{Cd}^{\text{II}}$  atom, lying on a 32 symmetry site, is coordinated by six N atoms from three ethylenediamine (en) ligands in a distorted octahedral geometry. The Ge atom also lies on a 32 symmetry site and is coordinated by six F atoms. The en ligand has a twofold rotation axis passing through the mid-point of the C—C bond. The F atom is disordered over two sites with equal occupancy factors. In the crystal, the  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  cations and  $[\text{GeF}_6]^{2-}$  anions are connected through N—H...F hydrogen bonds, forming a three-dimensional supramolecular network.

## Related literature

For background to the structures and applications of microporous materials, see: Cheetham *et al.* (1999); Jiang *et al.* (2010); Liang *et al.* (2006); Yu & Xu (2003); Zou *et al.* (2005). For related fluorides, see: Brauer *et al.* (1980, 1986); Dadachov *et al.* (2001); Lukevics *et al.* (1997); Tang *et al.* (2001*a,b,c,d,e,f*); Wang *et al.* (2004); Wang & Wang (2011); Zhang *et al.* (2003). For related structures containing chiral metal complexes, see: Stalder & Wilkinson (1997); Wang *et al.* (2003); Yu *et al.* (2001).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

$[\text{Cd}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_8\text{N}_2)_3](\text{GeF}_6)$   
 $M_r = 479.33$   
 Trigonal,  $P\bar{3}1c$   
 $a = 9.5422$  (3) Å  
 $c = 9.9977$  (5) Å  
 $V = 788.37$  (7) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 2$   
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 3.32$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 293$  K  
 $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.12$  mm

## Data collection

Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer  
 Absorption correction: multi-scan  
 (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.557$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.692$   
 7348 measured reflections  
 549 independent reflections  
 496 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.038$

## Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.038$   
 $S = 1.16$   
 549 reflections  
 42 parameters  
 12 restraints  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.23$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.23$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1C}\cdots\text{F1}^i$	0.90	2.28	3.135 (11)	158
$\text{N1}-\text{H1C}\cdots\text{F1}^i$	0.90	2.06	2.959 (11)	173
$\text{N1}-\text{H1D}\cdots\text{F1}$	0.90	1.94	2.831 (11)	172
$\text{N1}-\text{H1D}\cdots\text{F1}'$	0.90	2.16	3.005 (11)	156

Symmetry code: (i)  $x - y, x, -z$ .

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20901043), the Young Scientist Foundation of Shandong Province (No. BS2009CL041) and the Qingdao University Research Fund (No. 063-06300522).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HY2520).

## References

- Brandenburg, K. (1999). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.  
 Brauer, D. J., Burger, H. & Eujen, R. (1980). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **19**, 836–837.  
 Brauer, D. J., Wilke, J. & Eujen, R. (1986). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **316**, 261–269.  
 Bruker (2007). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.  
 Cheetham, A. K., Ferey, G. & Loiseau, T. (1999). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **38**, 3268–3292.  
 Dadachov, M. S., Tang, L. Q. & Zou, X. D. (2001). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **216**, 141–142.  
 Jiang, J. X., Yu, J. H. & Corma, A. (2010). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **49**, 3120–3145.  
 Liang, J., Li, Y. F., Yu, J. H., Chen, P., Fang, Q. R., Sun, F. X. & Xu, R. R. (2006). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 2546–2548.  
 Lukevics, E., Belyakov, S., Arsenyan, P. & Popelis, J. (1997). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **549**, 163–165.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Stalder, S. M. & Wilkinson, A. P. (1997). *Chem. Mater.* **9**, 2168–2173.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001a). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **216**, 257–258.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001b). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **216**, 259–260.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001c). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **216**, 385–386.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001d). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **216**, 387–388.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001e). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **216**, 389–390.
- Tang, L. Q., Dadachov, M. S. & Zou, X. D. (2001f). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **216**, 391–392.
- Wang, G.-M., Sun, Y.-Q. & Yang, G.-Y. (2004). *Acta Cryst.* **E60**, m705–m707.
- Wang, G.-M. & Wang, P. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* **E67**, m1278–m1279.
- Wang, Y., Yu, J. H., Guo, M. & Xu, R. R. (2003). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **42**, 4089–4092.
- Yu, J. H., Wang, Y., Shi, Z. & Xu, R. R. (2001). *Chem. Mater.* **13**, 2972–2978.
- Yu, J. H. & Xu, R. R. (2003). *Acc. Chem. Res.* **36**, 481–490.
- Zhang, H.-X., Yang, G.-Y. & Sun, Y.-Q. (2003). *Acta Cryst.* **E59**, m185–m187.
- Zou, X. D., Conradsson, T., Klingstedt, M., Dadachov, M. S. & O’Keeffe, M. (2005). *Nature (London)*, **437**, 716–719.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2012). E68, m396–m397 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S160053681200983X]

Tris(ethylenediamine- $\kappa^2N,N'$ )cadmium hexafluorogermanate

Guo-Ming Wang, Zeng-Xin Li and Pei Wang

## S1. Comment

In recent years, there has been much interest in the design and synthesis of crystalline microporous materials because of their rich structural chemistry and potential applications in catalysis, ion-exchange and separation (Cheetham *et al.*, 1999; Jiang *et al.*, 2010; Liang *et al.*, 2006; Yu & Xu, 2003; Zou *et al.*, 2005). In addition to the most notable zeolites, many non-aluminosilicate-based microporous systems, such as metal phosphates, germanates, borates, *etc.* have been extensively investigated. In contrast, the progress in the field of fluorides has been limited, though some fluoroaluminates (Tang *et al.*, 2001c,e), fluorosilicate (Tang *et al.*, 2001f), fluorotitanates (Dadachov *et al.*, 2001; Tang *et al.*, 2001a,b,d) and fluorogermanates (Brauer *et al.*, 1980,1986; Lukevics *et al.*, 1997; Wang *et al.*, 2004; Wang & Wang, 2011; Zhang *et al.*, 2003) have been reported. The main purpose of our work is to prepare microporous germanates templated by transition-metal complexes. Unexpectedly, the title compound, (I), was obtained, which is a new fluorogermanate templated by  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  cations (en = ethylenediamine).

The crystal structure of (I) consists of discrete  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  cations and  $[\text{GeF}_6]^{2-}$  anions (Fig. 1). Both of the cation and anion lie on 32 symmetry sites. In the  $[\text{GeF}_6]^{2-}$  anion, the Ge atom is six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral geometry by six symmetry-related F atoms. The Ge—F bond distances are 1.812 (9) and 1.746 (9) Å, similar to the distances observed in inorganic complex  $\text{K}_2\text{GeF}_6$  (Ge—F 1.77 Å) and in other fluorogermanates. In the  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  cation, the Cd<sup>II</sup> atom is bonded to six amine N atoms from three symmetry-related en ligands. The Cd—N bond distance is 2.370 (2) Å, comparable with those found in other related compounds. Interestingly, the  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  complex generated *in situ* is chiral, and the enantiomers are alternately arranged along the *a* axis (Fig. 2). It is worthy to note that the rigid octahedrally coordinated metal amine complex with chiral features is particularly rare and usually characterized as Co and Ir complexes, such as  $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ ,  $[\text{Co}(\text{tn})_3]^{3+}$  (tn = 1,3-diaminopropane),  $[\text{Co}(\text{dien})_2]^{3+}$  (dien = diethylenetriamine),  $[\text{Ir}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ , etc (Stalder & Wilkinson, 1997; Wang *et al.*, 2003; Yu *et al.*, 2001). Each  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  cation is linked to three neighboring  $[\text{GeF}_6]^{2-}$  anions through N1—H1D···F1 hydrogen bonds (Table 1), generating a hydrogen-bonded layer along [001] (Fig. 3). Adjacent layers are further connected with each other through N1—H1C···F1 hydrogen bonds (Fig. 4), giving rise to a three-dimensional supramolecular network.

## S2. Experimental

The title compound was obtained by hydrothermal methods. Typically, a mixture of  $\text{GeO}_2$  (0.104 g, 1 mmol),  $\text{CdCO}_3$  (0.174 g, 1 mmol), en (1.34 ml), pyridine (2.50 ml), hydrofluoric acid (40%, 0.20 ml) and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1.00 ml) in a molar ratio of 1:1:20:31:10:56 was sealed in a 25 ml Teflon-lined steel autoclave and heated under autogenous pressure at 443 K for 7 days. The block crystals obtained were recovered by filtration, washed with distilled water and dried in air.

S3. Refinement

Atom F1 was refined as disordered over two positions, each with 50% site occupancy. All H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.97 and N—H = 0.90 Å and with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}, \text{N})$ .




Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + y, y, 1/2 - z$ ; (ii)  $x, 1 + x - y, 1/2 - z$ ; (iii)  $-x + y, 1 - x, z$ ; (iv)  $1 - y, 1 + x - y, z$ ; (v)  $1 - y, 1 - x, 1/2 - z$ .]






Figure 2

The arrangement of the chiral  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  complexes along the  $a$  axis.


**Figure 3**

View of the hydrogen-bonded layer from the  $[\text{Cd}(\text{en})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{GeF}_6]^{2-}$  ions.


**Figure 4**

The expansion of adjacent layers into a three-dimensional hydrogen-bonded network.

### Tris(ethylenediamine- $\kappa^2N,N'$ )cadmium hexafluoridogermanate

#### Crystal data

$[\text{Cd}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_8\text{N}_2)_3](\text{GeF}_6)$

$M_r = 479.33$

Trigonal,  $P\bar{3}1c$

Hall symbol:  $-\text{P } 3 \ 2c$

$a = 9.5422(3) \text{ \AA}$

$c = 9.9977(5) \text{ \AA}$

$V = 788.37(7) \text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 472$

$D_x = 2.019 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 549 reflections

$\theta = 4.1\text{--}26.5^\circ$

$\mu = 3.32 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 293$  K 0.20 × 0.18 × 0.12 mm  
 Block, colorless

*Data collection*

Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer	7348 measured reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	549 independent reflections
Graphite monochromator	496 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.038$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.5^\circ$ , $\theta_{\text{min}} = 4.1^\circ$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.557$ , $T_{\text{max}} = 0.692$	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

*Refinement*

Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0048P)^2 + 0.9629P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.038$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.16$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
549 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
42 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
12 restraints	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kF_c[1 + 0.001x F_c^2 \lambda^3 / \sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction coefficient: 0.0045 (3)
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$	Occ. (<1)
Cd1	0.3333	0.6667	0.2500	0.03249 (17)	
Ge1	0.6667	0.3333	0.2500	0.02960 (19)	
N1	0.2829 (3)	0.4387 (3)	0.1196 (2)	0.0444 (6)	
H1C	0.2637	0.4538	0.0341	0.053*	
H1D	0.3698	0.4254	0.1212	0.053*	
C1	0.1425 (4)	0.2956 (4)	0.1743 (3)	0.0504 (8)	
H1A	0.1381	0.1989	0.1388	0.060*	
H1B	0.0443	0.2949	0.1479	0.060*	
F1	0.5391 (11)	0.3708 (8)	0.1387 (10)	0.065 (2)	0.50
F1'	0.5004 (11)	0.2974 (8)	0.1521 (10)	0.064 (2)	0.50

Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cd1	0.0326 (2)	0.0326 (2)	0.0323 (3)	0.01630 (10)	0.000	0.000
Ge1	0.0312 (3)	0.0312 (3)	0.0264 (4)	0.01559 (13)	0.000	0.000
N1	0.0559 (17)	0.0475 (16)	0.0364 (13)	0.0309 (14)	-0.0008 (12)	-0.0037 (12)
C1	0.056 (2)	0.0397 (18)	0.0518 (18)	0.0214 (16)	-0.0092 (16)	-0.0112 (14)
F1	0.074 (5)	0.092 (5)	0.051 (3)	0.058 (4)	-0.012 (3)	0.001 (4)
F1'	0.049 (4)	0.098 (5)	0.049 (3)	0.040 (4)	-0.021 (3)	-0.010 (4)

Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

Cd1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.370 (2)	Ge1—F1 <sup>vi</sup>	1.812 (9)
Cd1—N1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.370 (2)	Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	1.812 (9)
Cd1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.370 (2)	Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	1.812 (9)
Cd1—N1	2.370 (2)	Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	1.812 (9)
Cd1—N1 <sup>iv</sup>	2.370 (2)	Ge1—F1	1.812 (9)
Cd1—N1 <sup>v</sup>	2.370 (2)	N1—C1	1.459 (4)
Ge1—F1 <sup>vi</sup>	1.746 (9)	N1—H1C	0.9000
Ge1—F1 <sup>vii</sup>	1.746 (9)	N1—H1D	0.9000
Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	1.746 (9)	C1—C1 <sup>iii</sup>	1.518 (6)
Ge1—F1 <sup>iv</sup>	1.746 (9)	C1—H1A	0.9700
Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	1.746 (9)	C1—H1B	0.9700
Ge1—F1'	1.746 (9)	F1—F1'	0.621 (10)
Ge1—F1 <sup>vii</sup>	1.812 (9)		
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>ii</sup>	74.72 (12)	F1 <sup>ix</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	176.1 (7)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	92.62 (8)	F1'—Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	91.4 (3)
N1 <sup>ii</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>iii</sup>	103.54 (12)	F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	86.2 (5)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—N1	159.72 (12)	F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	82.4 (6)
N1 <sup>ii</sup> —Cd1—N1	92.62 (8)	F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	73.7 (3)
N1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cd1—N1	74.72 (12)	F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	90.6 (2)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>iv</sup>	103.54 (12)	F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	176.1 (7)
N1 <sup>ii</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>iv</sup>	92.62 (8)	F1 <sup>v</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	91.4 (3)
N1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>iv</sup>	159.72 (12)	F1'—Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	100.8 (2)
N1—Cd1—N1 <sup>iv</sup>	92.62 (8)	F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	82.4 (6)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>v</sup>	92.62 (8)	F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	86.2 (5)
N1 <sup>ii</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>v</sup>	159.72 (12)	F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	160.3 (5)
N1 <sup>iii</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>v</sup>	92.62 (8)	F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	176.1 (7)
N1—Cd1—N1 <sup>v</sup>	103.54 (12)	F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	100.8 (2)
N1 <sup>iv</sup> —Cd1—N1 <sup>v</sup>	74.72 (12)	F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	73.7 (3)
F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vii</sup>	76.2 (6)	F1 <sup>ix</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	91.4 (3)
F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	103.8 (6)	F1'—Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	90.6 (2)
F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	91.7 (5)	F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	86.2 (5)
F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	160.4 (5)	F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	160.3 (5)
F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	91.7 (5)	F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	86.2 (5)
F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	91.7 (5)	F1 <sup>ix</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>v</sup>	108.8 (5)
F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>ix</sup>	91.7 (5)	F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1	100.8 (2)

F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>fix</sup>	103.8 (6)	F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1	176.1 (7)
F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>fix</sup>	160.4 (5)	F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1	91.4 (3)
F1 <sup>iv</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>fix</sup>	76.2 (6)	F1 <sup>iv</sup> —Ge1—F1	90.6 (2)
F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1'	91.7 (5)	F1 <sup>fix</sup> —Ge1—F1	73.7 (3)
F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1'	160.4 (5)	F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1	160.3 (5)
F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1'	76.2 (6)	F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1	86.2 (5)
F1 <sup>v</sup> —Ge1—F1'	103.8 (6)	F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1	108.8 (5)
F1 <sup>fix</sup> —Ge1—F1'	91.7 (5)	F1 <sup>ix</sup> —Ge1—F1	86.2 (5)
F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vii</sup>	91.4 (3)	F1 <sup>v</sup> —Ge1—F1	82.4 (6)
F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vii</sup>	100.8 (2)	C1—N1—Cd1	108.83 (17)
F1 <sup>v</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vii</sup>	73.7 (3)	C1—N1—H1C	109.9
F1 <sup>fix</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vii</sup>	90.6 (2)	Cd1—N1—H1C	109.9
F1'—Ge1—F1 <sup>vii</sup>	176.1 (7)	C1—N1—H1D	109.9
F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vi</sup>	91.4 (3)	Cd1—N1—H1D	109.9
F1 <sup>viii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vi</sup>	90.6 (2)	H1C—N1—H1D	108.3
F1 <sup>v</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vi</sup>	176.1 (7)	N1—C1—C1 <sup>iii</sup>	110.1 (2)
F1 <sup>fix</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vi</sup>	100.8 (2)	N1—C1—H1A	109.6
F1'—Ge1—F1 <sup>vi</sup>	73.7 (3)	C1 <sup>iii</sup> —C1—H1A	109.6
F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>vi</sup>	108.8 (5)	N1—C1—H1B	109.6
F1 <sup>vi</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	90.6 (2)	C1 <sup>iii</sup> —C1—H1B	109.6
F1 <sup>vii</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	73.7 (3)	H1A—C1—H1B	108.2
F1 <sup>v</sup> —Ge1—F1 <sup>viii</sup>	100.8 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, x-y+1, -z+1/2$ ; (ii)  $-x+y, -x+1, z$ ; (iii)  $-x+y, y, -z+1/2$ ; (iv)  $-y+1, x-y+1, z$ ; (v)  $-y+1, -x+1, -z+1/2$ ; (vi)  $-y+1, x-y, z$ ; (vii)  $-x+y+1, y, -z+1/2$ ; (viii)  $x, x-y, -z+1/2$ ; (ix)  $-x+y+1, -x+1, z$ .

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}, ^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N1—H1C $\cdots$ F1 <sup>x</sup>	0.90	2.28	3.135 (11)	158
N1—H1C $\cdots$ F1 <sup>ix</sup>	0.90	2.06	2.959 (11)	173
N1—H1D $\cdots$ F1	0.90	1.94	2.831 (11)	172
N1—H1D $\cdots$ F1'	0.90	2.16	3.005 (11)	156

Symmetry code: (x)  $x-y, x, -z$ .