

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-(2-Chlorophenyl)acetic acid

Rajni Kant,^{a*} Vivek K. Gupta,^a Kamini Kapoor^a and B. Narayana^b

^aX-ray Crystallography Laboratory, Post-Graduate Department of Physics & Electronics, University of Jammu, Jammu Tawi 180 006, India, and ^bDepartment of Studies in Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri 574 199, India
Correspondence e-mail: rkvk.paper11@gmail.com


Received 24 May 2012; accepted 25 May 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$ Å; R factor = 0.052; wR factor = 0.145; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.8.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{ClO}_2$, the carboxyl group forms a dihedral angle of $74.83(9)^\circ$ with the benzene ring plane. In the crystal, molecules are linked into inversion dimers by pairs of $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds. The dimers are linked into layers parallel to the bc plane by weak $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ interactions.

Related literature

For applications of phenylacetic acids, see: Castellari & Ottani (1995); Deshpande *et al.* (2008); Hata *et al.* (1986). For the crystal structure of isostructural 2-(2-bromophenyl)acetic acid, see: Kant *et al.* (2012).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{ClO}_2$
 $M_r = 170.59$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 9.1473(7)$ Å
 $b = 5.8265(3)$ Å
 $c = 15.4299(7)$ Å
 $\beta = 101.155(5)^\circ$

$V = 806.83(8)$ Å³
 $Z = 4$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.42$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 293$ K
 $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur
Sapphire3 diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*CrysAlis PRO*; Oxford
Diffraction, 2010)
 $T_{\min} = 0.748$, $T_{\max} = 1.000$

9367 measured reflections
1583 independent reflections
1173 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.045$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.145$
 $S = 1.06$
1583 reflections

100 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.30$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.33$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{O10}-\text{H10}\cdots\text{O9}^i$	0.82	1.82	2.639 (4)	173
$\text{C6}-\text{H6}\cdots\text{O9}^ii$	0.93	2.57	3.469 (4)	163

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 2, -y, -z + 1$; (ii) $-x + 2, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2010); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO*; data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

RK acknowledges the Department of Science & Technology for access to the single-crystal X-ray diffractometer sanctioned as a National Facility under project No. SR/S2/CMP-47/2003 and the University of Jammu, Jammu, India, for financial support. BN thanks the UGC for financial assistance through the BSR one-time grant for the purchase of chemicals.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GK2495).

References

- Castellari, C. & Ottani, S. (1995). *Acta Cryst.* **C51**, 2612–2615.
Deshpande, P. P., Nanduri, V. B., Pullockaran, A., Christie, H., Mueller, R. H. & Patel, R. N. (2008). *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **35**, 901–906.
Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
Hata, T., Sato, S. & Tamura, C. (1986). *Acta Cryst.* **C42**, 452–454.
Kant, R., Kapoor, K. & Narayana, B. (2012). *Acta Cryst.* **E68**, o1704.
Oxford Diffraction (2010). *CrysAlis PRO*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o1940 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812023938]

2-(2-Chlorophenyl)acetic acid

Rajni Kant, Vivek K. Gupta, Kamini Kapoor and B. Narayana

S1. Comment

Derivatives of phenyl acetic acids are used as ingredients in perfume to provide honey like odour, for the preparation of a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug like diclofenac (Hata *et al.*, 1986; Castellari & Ottani, 1995), and as intermediate compounds for the synthesis of heterocyclic compounds (Deshpande *et al.*, 2008). In continuation of our work on substituted phenylacetic acid (Kant *et al.*, 2012), we report the crystal structure of a new derivative, 2-(2-chlorophenyl)acetic acid (I). The title compound is closely isostructural with its bromo analogue (Kant *et al.*, 2012).

S2. Experimental

The title compound was purchased from the Spectrochem Ltd. and single crystal was grown from ethyl acetate and toluene (1:1) mixture by slow evaporation method (m.p. 366–369 K).

S3. Refinement

All H atoms were positioned geometrically and were treated as riding on their parent atoms, with O—H distances of 0.82 Å and C—H distances of 0.93–0.97 Å and with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C/O})$.





Figure 1

ORTEP view of the molecule with displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

**Figure 2**

Projection of the crystal packing along the *b* axis. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity and hydrogen bonds are shown with dashed lines.

2-(2-Chlorophenyl)acetic acid

Crystal data

$C_8H_7ClO_2$

$M_r = 170.59$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: $-P\ 2_1/c$

$a = 9.1473\ (7)\ \text{\AA}$

$b = 5.8265\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$

$c = 15.4299\ (7)\ \text{\AA}$

$\beta = 101.155\ (5)^\circ$

$V = 806.83\ (8)\ \text{\AA}^3$

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 352$

$D_x = 1.404\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$

Melting point = 369–366 K

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073\ \text{\AA}$

Cell parameters from 3981 reflections

$\theta = 3.5\text{--}29.1^\circ$

$\mu = 0.42 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
 $T = 293 \text{ K}$

Block, colourless
 $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Sapphire3
 diffractometer
 Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
 Graphite monochromator
 Detector resolution: 16.1049 pixels mm^{-1}
 ω scans
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (CrysAlis PRO; Oxford Diffraction, 2010)
 $T_{\min} = 0.748$, $T_{\max} = 1.000$

9367 measured reflections
 1583 independent reflections
 1173 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.045$
 $\theta_{\max} = 26.0^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 3.8^\circ$
 $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
 $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$
 $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.145$
 $S = 1.06$
 1583 reflections
 100 parameters
 0 restraints
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
 direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
 map
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from
 neighbouring sites
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0608P)^2 + 0.4607P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. CrysAlis PRO, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Version 1.171.34.40 (release 27-08-2010 CrysAlis171. NET) (compiled Aug 27 2010, 11:50:40) Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C11	0.58539 (10)	0.25918 (15)	0.57120 (5)	0.0721 (3)
C1	0.7450 (3)	0.0180 (4)	0.70745 (16)	0.0451 (6)
C2	0.6591 (3)	0.2111 (4)	0.68252 (16)	0.0447 (6)
C3	0.6269 (3)	0.3678 (5)	0.74270 (18)	0.0516 (7)
H3	0.5691	0.4962	0.7238	0.062*
C4	0.6811 (4)	0.3324 (5)	0.8313 (2)	0.0599 (8)
H4	0.6599	0.4369	0.8727	0.072*
C5	0.7665 (4)	0.1427 (6)	0.85832 (19)	0.0657 (9)
H5	0.8028	0.1180	0.9182	0.079*
C6	0.7986 (4)	-0.0111 (5)	0.79697 (19)	0.0602 (8)
H6	0.8577	-0.1380	0.8162	0.072*

C7	0.7754 (4)	-0.1586 (5)	0.6417 (2)	0.0604 (8)
H7A	0.8219	-0.2908	0.6740	0.073*
H7B	0.6808	-0.2086	0.6070	0.073*
C8	0.8723 (3)	-0.0803 (5)	0.57996 (18)	0.0527 (7)
O9	0.9496 (2)	0.0930 (4)	0.59199 (13)	0.0672 (6)
O10	0.8700 (3)	-0.2177 (4)	0.51387 (14)	0.0729 (7)
H10	0.9245	-0.1674	0.4820	0.109*

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C11	0.0835 (7)	0.0807 (6)	0.0476 (4)	-0.0019 (4)	0.0015 (4)	0.0124 (4)
C1	0.0496 (16)	0.0395 (13)	0.0501 (14)	-0.0030 (11)	0.0193 (12)	0.0039 (11)
C2	0.0466 (15)	0.0484 (15)	0.0403 (12)	-0.0089 (12)	0.0117 (11)	0.0049 (11)
C3	0.0537 (17)	0.0421 (14)	0.0629 (16)	0.0005 (12)	0.0206 (13)	0.0032 (13)
C4	0.070 (2)	0.0558 (17)	0.0571 (17)	-0.0072 (15)	0.0218 (15)	-0.0096 (14)
C5	0.076 (2)	0.077 (2)	0.0423 (14)	-0.0015 (18)	0.0081 (14)	0.0044 (15)
C6	0.065 (2)	0.0565 (17)	0.0597 (17)	0.0089 (15)	0.0142 (14)	0.0149 (14)
C7	0.076 (2)	0.0430 (15)	0.0692 (18)	-0.0054 (15)	0.0318 (16)	-0.0039 (14)
C8	0.0549 (17)	0.0486 (15)	0.0576 (16)	-0.0054 (14)	0.0184 (13)	-0.0096 (13)
O9	0.0748 (15)	0.0647 (13)	0.0710 (13)	-0.0229 (12)	0.0362 (11)	-0.0244 (11)
O10	0.0876 (17)	0.0699 (14)	0.0708 (14)	-0.0292 (12)	0.0393 (12)	-0.0291 (11)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C11—C2	1.742 (3)	C5—C6	1.376 (4)
C1—C2	1.384 (4)	C5—H5	0.9300
C1—C6	1.384 (4)	C6—H6	0.9300
C1—C7	1.508 (4)	C7—C8	1.492 (4)
C2—C3	1.374 (4)	C7—H7A	0.9700
C3—C4	1.377 (4)	C7—H7B	0.9700
C3—H3	0.9300	C8—O9	1.227 (3)
C4—C5	1.370 (5)	C8—O10	1.293 (3)
C4—H4	0.9300	O10—H10	0.8200
C2—C1—C6	116.7 (2)	C6—C5—H5	120.0
C2—C1—C7	122.4 (2)	C5—C6—C1	121.7 (3)
C6—C1—C7	120.8 (3)	C5—C6—H6	119.1
C3—C2—C1	122.5 (2)	C1—C6—H6	119.1
C3—C2—C11	117.8 (2)	C8—C7—C1	115.5 (2)
C1—C2—C11	119.7 (2)	C8—C7—H7A	108.4
C2—C3—C4	119.2 (3)	C1—C7—H7A	108.4
C2—C3—H3	120.4	C8—C7—H7B	108.4
C4—C3—H3	120.4	C1—C7—H7B	108.4
C5—C4—C3	119.8 (3)	H7A—C7—H7B	107.5
C5—C4—H4	120.1	O9—C8—O10	123.3 (3)
C3—C4—H4	120.1	O9—C8—C7	123.5 (2)
C4—C5—C6	120.1 (3)	O10—C8—C7	113.2 (2)

C4—C5—H5	120.0	C8—O10—H10	109.5
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.2 (4)	C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.9 (5)
C7—C1—C2—C3	177.6 (3)	C2—C1—C6—C5	0.8 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C11	-179.2 (2)	C7—C1—C6—C5	-177.0 (3)
C7—C1—C2—C11	-1.4 (4)	C2—C1—C7—C8	68.3 (4)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.3 (4)	C6—C1—C7—C8	-114.0 (3)
C11—C2—C3—C4	178.8 (2)	C1—C7—C8—O9	15.7 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.2 (4)	C1—C7—C8—O10	-166.3 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.4 (5)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D—H...A</i>	<i>D—H</i>	<i>H...A</i>	<i>D...A</i>	<i>D—H...A</i>
O10—H10...O9 ⁱ	0.82	1.82	2.639 (4)	173
C6—H6...O9 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.57	3.469 (4)	163

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2, -y, -z+1$; (ii) $-x+2, y-1/2, -z+3/2$.