

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bis(N-nitroso-N-pentylhydroxylaminato- $\kappa^2 O.O'$)copper(II)

Ali Sheikh Bostanabad,^a* Olga Kovalchukova,^a Svetlana Strashnova,^a Adam Stash^b and Igor Zyuzin^c

^aPeoples' Friendship University of Russia, 6 Miklukho-Mallaya, 117198 Moscow, Russian Federation, ^bKarpov Institute of Physical Chemistry, 10 Vorontsovo Pole, 105064 Moscow, Russian Federation, and ^cThe Institute of Problems of Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences (IPCP RAS), Academician Semenov Avenue 1, Chernogolovka, Moscow region, 142432, Russian Federation Correspondence e-mail: alishkh144@gmail.com

Received 13 February 2014; accepted 4 March 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.026; wR factor = 0.073; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.2.

In the centrosymmetric title compound, $[Cu(C_5H_{11}N_2O_2)_2]$, the Cu²⁺ ion, located on an inversion centre (Wyckoff position 2b), is in a square-planar environment, surounded by four O atoms of the N–O groups of two *N*-nitroso-*N*-pentylhydroxylaminate ligands [Cu-O = 1.9042 (17) and 1.9095 (16) Å].The hydroxylaminate monoanions are bidentate chelating ligands. The Cu^{2+} cations form stacks along [010], with intermolecular Cu···N contacts of 3.146 (2) and 3.653 (2) Å.

Related literature

The basic procedure for the synthesis of the reported complex is described by Zyuzin et al. (1997). For related structures of copper complexes with the N-nitrosohydroxylamine derivatives, see: Abraham et al. (1987); Kovalchukova et al. (2013, 2014). The synthesis and properties of other metal nitrosohydroxylaminates are given in: Ahmed et al. (1988); Basson et al. (1992); Bolboaca et al. (2000); Kovalchukova et al. (2013); Najafi et al. (2011); Okabe & Tamaki (1995); Parkanyi et al. (1999); Pavel et al. (2000); Tamaki & Okabe (1998); Van der Helm et al. (1965). For applications of N-nitrosohydroxylamine derivatives see: Lundell & Knowles (1920); Buscarons & Canela (1974); Oztekin & Erim (2000); McGill et al. (2000).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[C_{11}(C_{c}H_{11}N_{2}O_{2})_{2}]$	V = 771.9 (3) Å ³
$M_r = 325.86$	Z = 2
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 14.325 (3) Å	$\mu = 1.43 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 4.776 (1) Å	T = 293 K
c = 11.619(2) Å	$0.80 \times 0.20 \times 0.0$
$\beta = 103.82 (3)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
Absorption correction: part of the
refinement model (ΔF)
(Walker & Stuart, 1983)
$T_{\min} = 0.202, \ T_{\max} = 0.670$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	
$vR(F^2) = 0.073$	
S = 0.88	
429 reflections	

93 K $0.20 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$ 1509 measured reflections

1509 medisarea reneettonis
1429 independent reflections
871 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.030$
3 standard reflections every 60 min
intensity decay: none

88 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.68 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Data collection: CAD-4-PC (Enraf-Nonius, 1993); cell refinement: CAD-4-PC; data reduction: CAD-4-PC; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: CIFTAB97 and SHELXL97.

This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 13-03-00079).

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PJ2009).

References

- Abraham, M. H., Bullock, J. I., Garland, J. H. N., Golder, A. J., Harden, G. J., Larkworti-Iy, L. F., Povey, D. C., Riedl, M. J. & Smith, G. W. (1987). Polyhedron, 6, 1375-1381.
- Ahmed, M., Edwards, A. J., Jones, C. J., McCleverty, J. A., Rothin, A. S. & Tate, J. P. (1988). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 257-263.
- Basson, S. S., Leipoldt, J. G., Purcell, W. & Venter, J. A. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 171-173.
- Bolboaca, M., Cinta, S., Venter, M., Deak, A., Haiduc, I., Cozar, O., Iliescu, T., Rosch, P. & Kiefer, W. (2000). Spectrosc. Lett. 33, 857-862.
- Buscarons, F. & Canela, J. (1974). Anal. Chim. Acta, 70, 113-120.
- Enraf-Nonius (1993). CAD-4-PC. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Kovalchukova, O., Bostanabad, A. S., Sergienko, V., Polyakova, I., Zyuzin, I. & Strashnova, S. (2013). Open J. Inorg. Chem. 3, 1-6.
- Kovalchukova, O. V., Bostanabad, A. S., Stash, A. I., Strashnova, S. B. & Zyuzin, I. N. (2014). Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 59, 332-336.
- Lundell, G. E. F. & Knowles, H. B. (1920). J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 12, 344-350.
- McGill, A. D., Zhang, W., Wittbrodt, J., Wang, J., Schlegel, H. B. & Wang, P. G. (2000). Bioorg. Med. Chem. 8, 405-412.
- Najafi, E., Amini, M. M. & Ng, S. W. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, m377.
- Okabe, N. & Tamaki, K. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 2004-2005.
- Oztekin, N. & Erim, F. B. (2000). J. Chromatogr. A, 895, 263-268.
- Parkanyi, L., Kalman, A., Deak, A., Venter, M. & Haiduc, I. (1999). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2, 265-268.
- Pavel, I., Cinta, S., Venter, M., Deak, A., Haiduc, I., Rosch, P., Cozar, O. & Kiefer, W. (2000). Vib. Spectrosc. 23, 71-76.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Tamaki, K. & Okabe, N. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 195-197.

metal-organic compounds

Van der Helm, D., Merritt, L. L., Degeilh, R. & MacGillavry, C. H. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 355–362.
Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158–166.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, m137-m138 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814004978]

Bis(*N*-nitroso-*N*-pentylhydroxylaminato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)copper(II)

Ali Sheikh Bostanabad, Olga Kovalchukova, Svetlana Strashnova, Adam Stash and Igor Zyuzin

S1. Comment

The chelate-forming derivatives of *N*-nitroso hydroxylamines form stable complexes with the metallic ions of various natures but only few of them have been structurally characterized (Abraham *et al.*, 1987; Ahmed *et al.*, 1988; Basson *et al.*, 1992; Bolboaca *et al.*, 2000; Kovalchukova *et al.*, 2013; Najafi *et al.*, 2011; Okabe & Tamaki, 1995; Parkanyi *et al.*, 1999; Pavel *et al.*, 2000; Tamaki & Okabe, 1998; Van der Helm *et al.*, 1965). Their ammonium and potassium salts are reported as good analytical reagents for different purposes (Lundell & Knowles, 1920; Buscarons & Canela, 1974; Oztekin & Erim, 2000). In addition, recently it was reported that many *o*-substituted *N*-nitroso-*N*-oxybenzenamines are good NO donors for both *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays (McGill *et al.*, 2000). The title compound $C_{10}H_{22}CuN_4O_4$ (Fig. 1) is centrosymmetric with the Cu^{2+} ion located on the inversion centre (Wyckoff position 2*b*) in square planar coordination, surounded by four oxo O atoms of the N—O groups of two organic ligands [Cu—O = 1.9042 (17) and 1.90905 (16) Å]. The molecule of the metal complex is completed by the 1-*x*, 1-*y*, 1-*z* symmetry operation. The mean deviation from the plane is 0.0199 Å. The *N*-nitroso-*N*-(n-pentyl)hydroxylaminate anions are bidentate chelating ligands. The Cu cations in the columns form stacks in the columns along the [010] direction with intermolecular Cu—N contacts equal to 3.146 (2) and 3.653 (2) Å (Fig. 2). The described coordination type of the central atom correlates with those described previously for the bis(N– nitroso-*N*-benzyl-hydroxylaminato-o,o) copper(II) (Kovalchukova *et al.*, 2013) and bis(*N*-nitroso-*N*-ethyl-hydroxylaminato-o,o) copper(II) (Kovalchukova *et al.*, 2014).

S2. Experimental

The title compound was obtained in accordance with the previously published procedure (Zyuzin *et al.*, 1997) with some modifications. A solution of *n*-pentylmagnesium chloride was prepared from magnesium (12.2 g, 0.5 mol) and 1-bromopentane (75.5 g, 0.5 mol) in the dry Et₂O (0.5 *L*). The NO gas was bubbled through the solution under vigorous stirring and cooling at such a rate that NO was almost entirely absorbed. The reaction mixture temperature was maintained in the range 248 to 243 K. After the period of a rapid NO absorption (1 h), stirring was continued in an NO atmosphere for 0.5 h until the NO absorption was completed, with a gradual increase in temperature to 263 K. The reaction mixture was purged with Ar, treated with MeOH (100 mL), poured into ice (300 g) and acidified with 2 *M* H₂SO₄. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (100 mL × 3). The combined extracts were washed with 50 mL 1 *M* NaOH and 50 mL H2O. The aqueous layer was neutralized with $2 M H_2SO_4$ until pH 4 and treated with 20 per cent CuSO₄ solution (120 g, 0.2 mol). The blue precipitate was washed with water, dried and crystallized from EtOH. Yield 42.3 g (52 per cent), blue crystals, m.p. 355–356 K. Analysis calculated for C₁₀H₂₂CuN₄O₄: Cu 19.50; found: Cu 18.83. Single crystals of C₁₀H₂₂CuN₄O₄ were grown by the slow evaporation of the ethanol solution of the bis[*N*-nitroso-*N*-(*n*-pentyl)hydroxylaminato] copper(II) powdered sample.

S3. Refinement

The structure of of $C_{10}H_{22}CuN_4O_4$ was solved by direct method and all non-hydrogen atoms were located and refined anisotropically. All the hydrogen atoms added using a riding model.



Figure 1

ORTEP view of $C_{10}H_{22}CuN_4O_4$ with atom labeling scheme (displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level for non-hydrogen atoms). The second half of the molecule is generated by the symmetry operator 1-*x*, 1-*y*, 1-*z*.



Figure 2

Mutual arrangement of neighboring complexes in a stack.

Bis(*N*-nitroso-*N*-pentylhydroxylaminato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)copper(II)

Crystal data

$[Cu(C_5H_{11}N_2O_2)_2]$	F(000) = 342
$M_r = 325.86$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.402 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 25 reflections
a = 14.325 (3) Å	$\theta = 9.7 - 11.9^{\circ}$
b = 4.776 (1) Å	$\mu = 1.43 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 11.619(2) Å	T = 293 K
$\beta = 103.82 (3)^{\circ}$	Plate, blue
V = 771.9 (3) Å ³	$0.80 \times 0.20 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$
Z = 2	
Data collection	
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	Absorption correction: part of the refinement
diffractometer	model (ΔF)
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	(Walker & Stuart, 1983)
β -filter monochromator	$T_{\min} = 0.202, T_{\max} = 0.670$
$\omega/2\tau$ scans	1509 measured reflections
	1429 independent reflections
	871 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{int} = 0.030$ $\theta_{max} = 25.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.9^{\circ}$ $h = -17 \rightarrow 16$ $k = -5 \rightarrow 0$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier Least-squares matrix: full map $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$ Hydrogen site location: inferred from $wR(F^2) = 0.073$ neighbouring sites S = 0.88H-atom parameters constrained 1429 reflections $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.049P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 88 parameters $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ 0 restraints $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Primary atom site location: structure-invariant $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.68 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ direct methods

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 13$

intensity decay: none

3 standard reflections every 60 min

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Cu1	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	0.04458 (15)	
01	0.38526 (12)	0.6395 (4)	0.39762 (14)	0.0498 (4)	
O2	0.55742 (13)	0.7912 (3)	0.42774 (14)	0.0498 (4)	
N1	0.40843 (14)	0.8595 (4)	0.34077 (15)	0.0447 (4)	
N2	0.49421 (15)	0.9440 (4)	0.35334 (17)	0.0481 (5)	
C1	0.33006 (17)	1.0104 (6)	0.26151 (19)	0.0506 (5)	
H11	0.3559	1.1716	0.2288	0.061*	
H12	0.2855	1.0778	0.3063	0.061*	
C2	0.2767 (2)	0.8251 (6)	0.1611 (2)	0.0577 (6)	
H21	0.3225	0.7366	0.1232	0.069*	
H22	0.2431	0.6788	0.1928	0.069*	
C3	0.20524 (19)	0.9925 (7)	0.0702 (2)	0.0647 (6)	
H31	0.2394	1.1373	0.0383	0.078*	
H32	0.1606	1.0840	0.1091	0.078*	
C4	0.1491 (3)	0.8157 (8)	-0.0308 (3)	0.0848 (10)	
H41	0.1094	0.6844	0.0000	0.102*	
H42	0.1937	0.7083	-0.0641	0.102*	
C5	0.0852 (3)	0.9888 (11)	-0.1285 (3)	0.1163 (15)	
H51	0.0516	0.8669	-0.1903	0.174*	
H52	0.1242	1.1171	-0.1603	0.174*	
H53	0.0396	1.0918	-0.0966	0.174*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0460 (2)	0.0446 (2)	0.0432 (2)	-0.0028 (2)	0.01086 (14)	0.0008 (2)
01	0.0449 (9)	0.0472 (9)	0.0562 (9)	-0.0053 (8)	0.0097 (7)	0.0084 (8)
O2	0.0473 (10)	0.0532 (9)	0.0505 (9)	-0.0062 (8)	0.0147 (7)	0.0020 (7)
N1	0.0485 (12)	0.0428 (11)	0.0428 (9)	-0.0033 (9)	0.0112 (8)	-0.0001 (8)
N2	0.0508 (12)	0.0499 (14)	0.0448 (10)	-0.0036 (9)	0.0137 (8)	0.0016 (8)
C1	0.0521 (13)	0.0470 (11)	0.0533 (11)	0.0052 (14)	0.0138 (10)	0.0037 (14)
C2	0.0538 (15)	0.0578 (16)	0.0584 (14)	0.0037 (13)	0.0073 (12)	-0.0015 (12)
C3	0.0556 (15)	0.0693 (15)	0.0641 (14)	0.0041 (17)	0.0042 (11)	0.0016 (17)
C4	0.069 (2)	0.092 (3)	0.081 (2)	0.0044 (19)	-0.0064 (16)	-0.0107 (19)
C5	0.099 (3)	0.140 (4)	0.086(2)	-0.002(3)	-0.025 (2)	-0.002(3)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—O1	1.9042 (17)	C2—H21	0.9700
Cu1-O1 ⁱ	1.9042 (17)	C2—H22	0.9700
Cu1—O2 ⁱ	1.9095 (16)	C3—C4	1.512 (4)
Cu1—O2	1.9095 (16)	C3—H31	0.9700
01—N1	1.325 (3)	С3—Н32	0.9700
O2—N2	1.314 (2)	C4—C5	1.521 (5)
N1—N2	1.268 (3)	C4—H41	0.9700
N1—C1	1.461 (3)	C4—H42	0.9700
C1—C2	1.518 (4)	C5—H51	0.9600
C1—H11	0.9700	С5—Н52	0.9600
C1—H12	0.9700	С5—Н53	0.9600
C2—C3	1.512 (4)		
01 — $Cu1$ — 01^i	180.0	C3—C2—H22	109.4
$O1$ — $Cu1$ — $O2^i$	97.54 (7)	C1—C2—H22	109.4
$O1^i$ — $Cu1$ — $O2^i$	82.46 (7)	H21—C2—H22	108.0
01—Cu1—O2	82.46 (7)	C2—C3—C4	113.1 (3)
01 ⁱ —Cu1—O2	97.54 (7)	C2—C3—H31	109.0
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—O2	180.0	C4—C3—H31	109.0
N1—O1—Cu1	107.90 (13)	С2—С3—Н32	109.0
N2-O2-Cu1	113.09 (14)	C4—C3—H32	109.0
N2—N1—O1	123.09 (19)	H31—C3—H32	107.8
N2—N1—C1	119.6 (2)	C3—C4—C5	112.9 (3)
01—N1—C1	117.35 (19)	C3—C4—H41	109.0
N1—N2—O2	113.32 (18)	C5—C4—H41	109.0
N1-C1-C2	111.5 (2)	C3—C4—H42	109.0
N1-C1-H11	109.3	C5—C4—H42	109.0
C2-C1-H11	109.3	H41—C4—H42	107.8
N1-C1-H12	109.3	C4—C5—H51	109.5
C2-C1-H12	109.3	C4—C5—H52	109.5
H11—C1—H12	108.0	H51—C5—H52	109.5
C3—C2—C1	111.2 (2)	C4—C5—H53	109.5

supporting information

C3—C2—H21	109.4	H51—C5—H53	109.5	
C1—C2—H21	109.4	H52—C5—H53	109.5	

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.