# data reports



OPEN a ACCESS

## Crystal structure of (E)-N-phenyl-N'-[1-(thiophen-2-yl)ethylidene]formohydrazide

#### C. S. Dileep,<sup>a</sup> K. R. Raghavendra,<sup>b</sup> N. K. Lokanath,<sup>a</sup> K. Ajay Kumar<sup>c</sup> and M. A. Sridhar<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Studies in Physics, Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Mysore 570 006, India, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, SBRR Mahajana College, Mysore 570 006, India, and <sup>c</sup>Post Graduate Department of Chemistry, Yuvaraja College, University of Mysore, Mysore 570 005, India. \*Correspondence e-mail: mas@physics.uni-mysore.ac.in

Received 9 July 2014; accepted 16 July 2014

Edited by H. Ishida, Okayama University, Japan

In the title compound,  $C_{13}H_{12}N_2OS$ , the planes of the thiophene and phenyl rings are nearly perpendicular to each other, making a dihedral angle of 86.42  $(12)^{\circ}$ . In the crystal, molecules are linked by  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds, forming a helical chain along the *b*-axis direction.

Keywords: crystal structure; thiophene derivative; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC reference: 1014287

#### 1. Related literature

For the biological activity of thiophene derivatives, see: Bondock et al. (2010); Bellina et al. (2007); Konstantinova et al. (2009); Al-Said et al. (2011). For the crystal structure of a similar compound, viz. (E)-N'-[1-(thiophen-2-yl)ethylidene]benzohydrazide, see: Shan et al. (2011). For a description of the Cambridge Structural Database, see: Allen (2002).



 $M_r = 244.32$ 

### 2. Experimental 2.1. Crystal data $C_{13}H_{12}N_2OS$

Orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$ a = 5.4960 (7) Åb = 11.0177 (13) Å c = 20.249 (2) Å V = 1226.1 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>

2.2. Data collection

6298 measured reflections
2010 independent reflections
1904 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.042$

#### 2.3. Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.102$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.10	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
2010 reflections	805 Friedel pairs
165 parameters	Absolute structure parameter:
H-atom parameters constrained	0.02 (2)

Z = 4

Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.25 \times 0.22 \times 0.20$  mm

 $\mu = 2.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 296 K

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C1-H1\cdots O1^i$	0.93	2.39	3.202 (3)	145
Symmetry code: (i)	$-r v + \frac{1}{2} - 7 + \frac{1}{2}$	3		

metry code: (i)  $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ .

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2013); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2013); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2009).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the University of Mysore for providing the diffractometer facility under the Institution of Excellence. CSD would like to thank the University of Mysore for the award of an RFSMS fellowship under the head DV5/ Physics/389/RFSMS/2009-2010/10.07.2012.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IS5369).

#### References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Al-Said, M. S., Bashandy, M. S., Al-qasoumi, S. I. & Ghorab, M. M. (2011). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 46, 137-141.
- Bellina, F., Cauteruccio, S. & Rossi, R. (2007). Tetrahedron, 63, 4571-4624. Bondock, S., Fadaly, W. & Metwally, M. A. (2010). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 45,
- 3692-3701.
- Bruker (2013). APEX2, SADABS and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Konstantinova, L. S., Bolshakov, O. I., Obruchnikova, N. V., Laborie, H., Tanga, A., Sopena, V., Lanneluc, I., Picot, L., Sable, S., Thiery, V. & Rakitin, O. A. (2009). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 19, 136-141.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453-457.



Shan, S., Huang, Y.-L., Guo, H.-Q., Li, D.-F. & Sun, J. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, o2498.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A**64**, 112–122. Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D**65**, 148–155.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, o928-o929 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814016511]

# Crystal structure of (*E*)-*N*-phenyl-*N*'-[1-(thiophen-2-yl)ethylidene]formohydrazide

### C. S. Dileep, K. R. Raghavendra, N. K. Lokanath, K. Ajay Kumar and M. A. Sridhar

#### S1. Comment

In medicinal chemistry, thiophene derivatives have been very well known for their therapeutic applications. Many thiophene derivatives have been developed as chemotherapeutic agents and are extensively used. Thiophene nucleus is one of the most important heterocycles exhibiting remarkable pharmacological activities. The great interest in the synthesis of thiophene derivatives due to their diverse biological and chemical properties. Thiophene, as a prominent structural motif, is found in numerous active compounds, which contain 5-membered heterocyclic structure have attracted a lot of interests in many fields, and its rich biological activity in medicinal chemistry owing to their biological properties. Thiophene and thiazole derivatives are known to possess interesting biological properties like anticancer (Bondock *et al.*, 2010; Bellina *et al.*, 2007; Konstantinova *et al.*, 2009). Thiophene or benzothiophene moieties due to the well documented anti-cancer activity of these moieties to study their SAR and their anti-breast cancer activity (Al-Said *et al.*, 2011). In view of their importance as discussed above, thiophene derivatives were taken for their conformational studies to get better structural activity correlation.

In the title compound (Fig. 1), the bond lengths do not show much variation in the core structure of the derivatives, and are similar to the standard values (Allen *et al.*, 2002). The thiophene (S1/C1–C4) and phenyl (C8–C13) rings are nearly perpendicular with a dihedral angle of 86.42 (12)° between their mean planes. The bond lengths and bond angles do not show large deviations and are comparable with those reported for a similar structure (Shan *et al.*, 2011). The conformation of the attachment of the thiophene and phenyl rings can also be characterized by torsion angles of (C4–C5–N1–N2), (C5–N1–N2–C8), (O1–C7–N2–C8) and (S1–C4–C5–C6) being 178.38, 127.73, 171.34 and -170.31°, respectively. The crystal structure has an intermolecular C–H…O hydrogen bond. The molecular packing viewed down the *a* axis is shown in Fig. 2.

#### **S2. Experimental**

A mixture of (*E*)-1-phenyl-2-[(1-thiophen-2-yl)ethylidene]hydrazine (0.176 mmol) were added to the Vilsmeier-Haack reagent prepared by drop-wise addition of POCl<sub>3</sub> (1.2 ml) in ice cooled DMF (5 ml). The mixture was stirred at 60–65 °C for 6 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was poured into ice cold water, neutralized with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, the solid separated was filtered, washed with water and recrystallized from ethanol to get the compound in 93% yield.

#### **S3. Refinement**

All H atoms were located from difference maps and were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with C—H = 0.93–0.96 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ .



## Figure 1

*ORTEP* view of the molecule with the atom-labeling scheme. The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



F(000) = 512

 $\theta = 4.4 - 64.6^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 2.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

Block, pale yellow  $0.25 \times 0.22 \times 0.20$  mm

T = 296 K

 $D_x = 1.324 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Cu K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å

Cell parameters from 6298 reflections

#### Figure 2

A molecular packing view of the title compound down the *a*-axis.

#### (E)-N-Phenyl-N'-[1-(thiophen-2-yl)ethylidene]formohydrazide.

Crystal data

C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS  $M_r = 244.32$ Orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$ Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab a = 5.4960 (7) Å b = 11.0177 (13) Å c = 20.249 (2) Å V = 1226.1 (2) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

#### Data collection

Bruker X8 Proteum	$T_{\min} = 0.604, \ T_{\max} = 0.662$
diffractometer	6298 measured reflections
Radiation source: Bruker MicroStar microfocus	2010 independent reflections
rotating anode	1904 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Helios multilayer optics monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.042$
Detector resolution: 10.7 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\rm max} = 64.6^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 4.4^\circ$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$h = -2 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2013)	$l = -23 \rightarrow 22$

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.102$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0605P)^2 + 0.0861P]$
S = 1.10	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2010 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
165 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	Extinction correction: SHELXL,
direct methods	$FC^* = KFC[1+0.001XFC^2\Lambda^3/SIN(2\Theta)]^{-1/4}$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	Extinction coefficient: 0.0158 (16)
map	Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 805 Friedel pairs
	Absolute structure parameter: 0.02 (2)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. Bond distances, angles *etc*. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

**Refinement**. Refinement on  $F^2$  for ALL reflections except those flagged by the user for potential systematic errors. Weighted *R*-factors *wR* and all goodnesses of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The observed criterion of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *-R*-factor-obs *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
S1	0.05502 (12)	0.12455 (6)	0.72104 (3)	0.0539 (2)
01	0.0434 (4)	-0.24121 (15)	0.55887 (8)	0.0526 (6)
N1	0.0078 (3)	0.00557 (16)	0.59394 (8)	0.0348 (5)
N2	0.0086 (3)	-0.04249 (15)	0.52882 (8)	0.0344 (5)
C1	-0.0682 (6)	0.1399 (3)	0.79702 (12)	0.0623 (10)
C2	-0.2635 (6)	0.0712 (3)	0.80591 (13)	0.0648 (10)
C3	-0.3227 (5)	0.0016 (2)	0.74907 (11)	0.0513 (8)
C4	-0.1639 (4)	0.02325 (18)	0.69777 (10)	0.0358 (6)
C5	-0.1724 (4)	-0.02503 (18)	0.63061 (10)	0.0331 (6)
C6	-0.3905 (4)	-0.0972 (2)	0.61062 (13)	0.0509 (8)
C7	0.0373 (4)	-0.16179 (19)	0.51733 (10)	0.0410 (7)
C8	0.0373 (4)	0.04484 (17)	0.47710 (9)	0.0325 (6)
C9	0.2273 (4)	0.0366 (2)	0.43330 (11)	0.0397 (6)
C10	0.2429 (4)	0.1192 (2)	0.38203 (12)	0.0479 (7)
C11	0.0710 (5)	0.2091 (2)	0.37516 (11)	0.0469 (7)
C12	-0.1151 (5)	0.2185 (2)	0.41975 (12)	0.0463 (8)
C13	-0.1322 (4)	0.1365 (2)	0.47156 (11)	0.0413 (6)
H1	-0.00560	0.19130	0.82930	0.0750*
H2	-0.35200	0.06880	0.84500	0.0780*
H3	-0.45300	-0.05220	0.74680	0.0620*
H6A	-0.39060	-0.10770	0.56360	0.0760*
H6B	-0.53550	-0.05510	0.62380	0.0760*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

# supporting information

H6C	-0.38540	-0.17530	0.63170	0.0760*	
H7	0.05420	-0.18570	0.47350	0.0490*	
H9	0.34410	-0.02390	0.43800	0.0480*	
H10	0.37060	0.11400	0.35200	0.0570*	
H11	0.08130	0.26340	0.34010	0.0560*	
H12	-0.23010	0.27990	0.41530	0.0560*	
H13	-0.25720	0.14340	0.50230	0.0500*	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
<b>S</b> 1	0.0546 (4)	0.0703 (5)	0.0368 (3)	-0.0147 (3)	-0.0029 (3)	-0.0071 (3)
01	0.0729 (11)	0.0375 (9)	0.0474 (9)	0.0049 (8)	0.0049 (8)	0.0096 (7)
N1	0.0402 (10)	0.0379 (9)	0.0263 (8)	-0.0024 (8)	-0.0012 (7)	-0.0037 (6)
N2	0.0452 (10)	0.0313 (8)	0.0266 (8)	0.0006 (8)	-0.0005 (7)	-0.0022 (7)
C1	0.085 (2)	0.0689 (17)	0.0330 (12)	0.0037 (16)	-0.0059 (13)	-0.0095 (11)
C2	0.0757 (18)	0.0819 (19)	0.0368 (13)	0.0055 (16)	0.0152 (13)	0.0000 (13)
C3	0.0524 (14)	0.0590 (15)	0.0426 (13)	-0.0041 (12)	0.0127 (11)	-0.0022 (11)
C4	0.0373 (10)	0.0370 (11)	0.0332 (10)	0.0038 (9)	0.0009 (8)	0.0026 (8)
C5	0.0317 (10)	0.0314 (10)	0.0362 (11)	0.0037 (8)	-0.0030 (8)	0.0008 (8)
C6	0.0372 (12)	0.0564 (15)	0.0592 (14)	-0.0111 (10)	0.0008 (11)	-0.0119 (12)
C7	0.0511 (12)	0.0353 (11)	0.0366 (11)	0.0020 (9)	0.0024 (10)	-0.0018 (9)
C8	0.0388 (10)	0.0296 (10)	0.0291 (9)	-0.0017 (8)	-0.0051 (8)	-0.0012 (8)
C9	0.0355 (10)	0.0392 (11)	0.0444 (12)	0.0049 (9)	0.0011 (9)	0.0016 (10)
C10	0.0456 (12)	0.0511 (13)	0.0469 (13)	-0.0056 (11)	0.0089 (10)	0.0094 (11)
C11	0.0604 (14)	0.0365 (11)	0.0439 (12)	-0.0080 (11)	-0.0054 (11)	0.0088 (9)
C12	0.0536 (14)	0.0350 (12)	0.0503 (13)	0.0084 (11)	-0.0087 (11)	0.0024 (10)
C13	0.0429 (11)	0.0416 (11)	0.0395 (11)	0.0083 (10)	0.0012 (10)	-0.0035 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1-C1	1.690 (3)	C10—C11	1.376 (3)
S1—C4	1.707 (2)	C11—C12	1.368 (4)
O1—C7	1.214 (3)	C12—C13	1.388 (3)
N1—N2	1.421 (2)	C1—H1	0.9300
N1—C5	1.283 (3)	C2—H2	0.9300
N2—C7	1.344 (3)	С3—Н3	0.9300
N2—C8	1.431 (2)	С6—Н6А	0.9600
C1—C2	1.326 (5)	С6—Н6В	0.9600
С2—С3	1.421 (4)	С6—Н6С	0.9600
C3—C4	1.378 (3)	С7—Н7	0.9300
C4—C5	1.461 (3)	С9—Н9	0.9300
C5—C6	1.494 (3)	C10—H10	0.9300
С8—С9	1.373 (3)	C11—H11	0.9300
C8—C13	1.379 (3)	C12—H12	0.9300
C9—C10	1.383 (3)	C13—H13	0.9300
C1—S1—C4	91.96 (13)	C2—C1—H1	123.00

N2N1C5	116 20 (17)	C1_C2_H2	124.00
$N_1 - N_2 - C_7$	121 70 (16)	$C_{1} = C_{2} = H_{2}$	124.00
N1	115 40 (15)	$C_2 - C_3 - H_3$	124.00
$C7_{-N2}^{-C8}$	121 19 (16)	$C_{4}$ $C_{3}$ $H_{3}$	124.00
$C_1 = C_2$	121.17(10) 113.0(2)	$C_{5}$ $C_{6}$ $H_{6A}$	109.00
$S_1 = C_1 = C_2$	113.0(2) 112.6(2)	C5 C6 H6P	109.00
$C_1 - C_2 - C_3$	112.0(2)	$C_{5} = C_{6} = H_{6}C_{6}$	109.00
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4$	111.9(2)		109.00
SI	110.58 (16)	HOA—CO—HOB	109.00
SI - C4 - C5	121.16 (16)	H6A—C6—H6C	109.00
C3—C4—C5	128.2 (2)	H6B—C6—H6C	109.00
NIC5C4	114.73 (19)	OI—C/—H/	117.00
N1—C5—C6	127.04 (19)	N2—C7—H7	117.00
C4—C5—C6	118.13 (19)	С8—С9—Н9	120.00
O1—C7—N2	126.02 (19)	С10—С9—Н9	120.00
N2—C8—C9	120.81 (18)	C9—C10—H10	120.00
N2—C8—C13	118.53 (18)	C11—C10—H10	120.00
C9—C8—C13	120.65 (19)	C10-C11-H11	120.00
C8—C9—C10	119.2 (2)	C12—C11—H11	120.00
C9—C10—C11	120.5 (2)	C11—C12—H12	120.00
C10—C11—C12	120.1 (2)	C13—C12—H12	120.00
C11—C12—C13	120.0 (2)	C8—C13—H13	120.00
C8—C13—C12	119.5 (2)	C12—C13—H13	120.00
S1—C1—H1	124.00		
C4—S1—C1—C2	0.9 (3)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-176.1(2)
C1—S1—C4—C3	-1.3 (2)	C2—C3—C4—S1	1.4 (3)
C1—S1—C4—C5	176.4 (2)	S1—C4—C5—N1	6.3 (3)
C5—N1—N2—C7	-67.0(2)	C3—C4—C5—N1	-176.4(2)
C5—N1—N2—C8	127.7 (2)	C3—C4—C5—C6	7.0 (3)
N2—N1—C5—C4	178.38 (16)	S1—C4—C5—C6	-170.31 (16)
N2—N1—C5—C6	-5.3 (3)	N2-C8-C9-C10	176.94 (19)
C7—N2—C8—C13	135.3 (2)	C13—C8—C9—C10	-2.1(3)
N1—N2—C7—O1	6.9 (3)	N2—C8—C13—C12	-176.7(2)
C8-N2-C7-01	171 3 (2)	C9-C8-C13-C12	23(3)
$N_1 - N_2 - C_8 - C_9$	121.6 (2)	C8-C9-C10-C11	0.4(3)
C7 - N2 - C8 - C9	-437(3)	C9-C10-C11-C12	10(4)
$N_1 = N_2 = C_3 = C_3^2$	-50 A (2)	$C_{10} C_{11} C_{12} C_{12}^{12}$	-0.7(4)
111 - 112 - 00 - 013	-0.2(4)	$C_{10} - C_{11} - C_{12} - C_{13}$	-0.0(2)
$S_1 = C_1 = C_2 = C_3$	-0.3(4)	011-012-013-08	-0.9 (3)
$U_1 - U_2 - U_3 - U_4$	-0.7 (4)		

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C1—H1···O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.93	2.39	3.202 (3)	145

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y+1/2, -z+3/2.