



Received 10 December 2014

Accepted 15 December 2014

Edited by H. Stoeckli-Evans, University of
Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Keywords: crystal structure; lead tartrate; gel growth; redetermination; O—H...O hydrogen bonds

CCDC reference: 1039408

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

Crystal structure of lead(II) tartrate: a redetermination


Matthias Weil

Institute for Chemical Technologies and Analytics, Division of Structural Chemistry, Vienna University of Technology, Getreidemarkt 9/164-SC, A-1060 Vienna, Austria. *Correspondence e-mail: mweil@mail.zserv.tuwien.ac.at


Single crystals of poly[μ_4 -tartrato- $\kappa^6 O^1, O^3:O^{1'}:O^2, O^4:O^{4'}$ -lead], $[\text{Pb}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{O}_6)]_n$, were grown in a gel medium. In comparison with the previous structure determination of this compound from laboratory powder X-ray diffraction data [De Ridder *et al.* (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **C58**, m596–m598], the redetermination on the basis of single-crystal data reveals the absolute structure, all atoms with anisotropic displacement parameters and a much higher accuracy in terms of bond lengths and angles. It could be shown that a different space group or incorporation of water as reported for similarly gel-grown lead tartrate crystals is incorrect. In the structure, each Pb^{2+} cation is bonded to eight O atoms of five tartrate anions, while each tartrate anion links four Pb^{2+} cations. The resulting three-dimensional framework is stabilized by O—H...O hydrogen bonds between the OH groups of one tartrate anion and the carboxylate O atoms of adjacent anions.

1. Chemical context

Crystal growth in gels (Henisch, 1970) is a convenient method to obtain single crystals of high quality from compounds with rather low solubility products. Therefore gel growth was the method of choice for single-crystal growth of the low-soluble fluorophosphate BaPO_3F . This compound is interesting insofar as the polycrystalline material (prepared by fast precipitation) has orthorhombic symmetry whereas single crystals grown slowly in a gel have monoclinic symmetry. Both the orthorhombic and monoclinic BaPO_3F phases belong to the same order–disorder (OD) family and can be derived from the baryte (BaSO_4) structure type by replacing the SO_4^{2-} anions with isoelectronic PO_3F^{2-} anions in two orientations (Stöger *et al.*, 2013). The same baryte-type structure has been reported for PbPO_3F on the basis of similar lattice parameters and systematic absences of reflections (Walford, 1967). However, structural details were not determined at that time. In analogy with the barium compound, it was intended to grow crystals of lead fluorophosphate in a gel medium. In order to take into account the somewhat lower solubility of PbPO_3F in comparison with BaPO_3F (Lange, 1929), crystal-growth experiments were performed with lead salts in ammoniacal tartrate solutions to produce a soluble, poorly dissociated lead tartrate complex which lowers the concentration of Pb^{2+} to such an extent that its direct precipitation is prevented. In fact, after some days colourless single crystals appeared in the gel medium that, on the basis of unit-cell determinations, turned out to be lead tartrate, $[\text{Pb}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{O}_6)]$. The structure of this compound was originally solved and refined from laboratory X-ray powder diffraction data in space group $P2_12_12_1$ (De Ridder *et al.*, 2002). However, some years later it was reported that gel-grown lead tartrate crystallizes as a dihydrate



(Lillybai & Rahimkuty, 2010) or in a different space group ($Pna2_1$; Labutina *et al.*, 2011). Motivated by these disagreements, it was decided to re-investigate the crystal structure of gel-grown lead tartrate on the basis of single-crystal diffraction data for an unambiguous determination of the space group and the composition, and to obtain more precise results compared to the powder refinement.



2. Structural commentary

The present study confirms in principle the results of the previous powder X-ray diffraction study and reveals the determination of the absolute structure (Flack parameter 0.003 (7); Flack, 1983) and all non-H atoms refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. In comparison with the powder study, the higher precision and accuracy of the present model is, for example, reflected by the notable differences in the Pb—O bond lengths determined in the two studies (Table 1). An important result of the present study is that neither a different space group nor a different content in terms of an incorporation of water into the structure could be found on the basis of the single-crystal data.

The Pb^{2+} cation has a coordination number of eight considering a cut-off value of 3 Å for the ligating oxygen atoms. The coordination polyhedron is considerably distorted (Fig. 1), with Pb—O distances in the range 2.472 (2)–




Figure 1
Coordination environment of the Pb^{2+} cation in the title compound, with atom labelling (for symmetry codes refer to Table 1). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 1

Comparison of the Pb—O bond lengths (Å) in the current and the previous (De Ridder *et al.*, 2002) refinements of lead tartrate.

For the previous refinement: $a = 7.99482$ (3), $b = 8.84525$ (4), $c = 8.35318$ (4) Å.

Bond	current refinement	previous refinement
Pb—O1 ⁱ	2.472 (2)	2.859 (12)
Pb—O5 ⁱⁱ	2.482 (2)	2.398 (11)
Pb—O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.594 (2)	2.575 (12)
Pb—O3 ⁱ	2.5972 (17)	2.637 (9)
Pb—O4 ^{iv}	2.6878 (19)	2.649 (11)
Pb—O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.7866 (19)	2.847 (12)
Pb—O2 ^{iv}	2.935 (2)	2.975 (13)
Pb—O1	3.004 (2)	2.754 (12)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x - \frac{1}{2}, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O3—H3O \cdots O2 ⁱ	0.84 (1)	2.02 (4)	2.646 (3)	131 (5)
O4—H4O \cdots O6 ⁱⁱ	0.85 (1)	1.79 (1)	2.618 (3)	169 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$.

3.004 (2) Å (Table 1). The resulting bond-valence sum (Brown, 2002) of 1.75 valence units, using the parameters of Krivovichev & Brown (2001) for the Pb—O bonds, is reasonably close to the expected value of 2.0 valence units. Bond lengths and angles within the tartrate anion are in normal ranges.

3. Packing features

In the crystal structure, the Pb^{2+} cations are arranged in hexagonally packed rows extending parallel to $[100]$ (Fig. 2).




Figure 2
The crystal packing of the title compound in projection along $[\bar{1}00]$. Only complete tartrate anions are shown. O—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds are shown in blue (see Table 2 for details). Pb—O bonds have been omitted for clarity. Colour code: Pb green, C grey, O red, H white.

Table 3
Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	[Pb(C ₄ H ₄ O ₆)]
<i>M_r</i>	355.26
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, <i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁
Temperature (K)	296
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	7.9890 (2), 8.8411 (3), 8.3434 (2)
<i>V</i> (Å ³)	589.31 (3)
<i>Z</i>	4
Radiation type	Mo <i>K</i> α
μ (mm ⁻¹)	28.61
Crystal size (mm)	0.15 × 0.15 × 0.09
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2013)
<i>T</i> _{min} , <i>T</i> _{max}	0.099, 0.183
No. of measured, independent and observed [<i>I</i> > 2σ(<i>I</i>)] reflections	81742, 4512, 3836
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.046
(sin θ/λ) _{max} (Å ⁻¹)	0.971
Refinement	
<i>R</i> [<i>F</i> ² > 2σ(<i>F</i> ²)], <i>wR</i> (<i>F</i> ²), <i>S</i>	0.021, 0.042, 1.06
No. of reflections	4512
No. of parameters	108
No. of restraints	2
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
Δρ _{max} , Δρ _{min} (e Å ⁻³)	3.20, -2.26
Absolute structure	Flack (1983), 1959 Friedel pairs
Absolute structure parameter	-0.003 (7)

Computer programs: *APEX2* and *S SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2013), *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008), *ATOMS* (Dowty, 2006) and *pubCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Each Pb²⁺ cation is bonded to five tartrate anions (three chelating and two in a monodentate fashion, Fig. 1) while each tartrate anion links four Pb²⁺ cations, leading to a three-dimensional framework. O—H...O hydrogen bonds (Table 2) between the hydroxy groups of one tartrate anion and the carboxylate O atoms of adjacent tartrate anions stabilize this arrangement. Since no solvent-accessible voids were observed in the crystal structure, an incorporation of water molecules as reported by Lillibay & Rahimkuty (2010) is impossible.

4. Database survey

Tartaric acid and its salts or coordination compounds have been structurally examined in great detail. The current release of the CSD (Version 5.35 with all updates; Groom & Allen, 2014) revealed 644 entries, including the pure acid, co-crystals, compounds with the hydrogen tartrate anion and compounds with the tartrate anion.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

Commercially available gelatin was dissolved in hot water. The solution (50 ml) was cooled to about 300 K and 300 mg of (NH₄)₂(PO₃F)(H₂O), prepared according to Schülke & Kayser (1991), were dissolved in the still liquid solution that was filled in a large test tube. After initiation of gelling, a

second neutral gel layer was put on top of the first gel layer. After the neutral gel had set, an aqueous solution consisting of Pb(NO₃)₂ (30 mg) and sodium potassium tartrate (250 mg) was poured over the second gel layer. After three weeks, colourless single crystals of lead(II) tartrate, mostly with a block-like form, could be isolated. PbPO₃F in the form of polycrystalline material was also present in the reaction mixture as revealed by powder X-ray diffraction measurements.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Atom labelling and starting coordinates for the refinement were taken from the previous powder diffraction study (De Ridder *et al.*, 2002). H atoms bonded to C atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.98 Å and with *U*_{iso}(H) = 1.2*U*_{eq}(C). Hydroxyl H atoms were found from difference Fourier maps and refined with an O—H distance restraint of 0.85 (1) Å and with *U*_{iso}(H) = 1.2*U*_{eq}(O). The highest and lowest remaining electron densities are found 0.59 and 0.49 Å⁻³, respectively, from the Pb atom and are caused by truncation effects. No other electron densities attributable to additional atoms could be found, ruling out an incorporation of water molecules. Refinements in space group *Pna*2₁ as suggested by Labutina *et al.* (2011) led to unreasonable models.

Acknowledgements

The X-ray centre of the Vienna University of Technology is acknowledged for providing access to the single-crystal and powder X-ray diffractometers.

References

- Brown, I. D. (2002). *The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry: The Bond Valence Model*. Oxford University Press, England.
- Bruker (2013). *APEX2*, *S SAINT-Plus* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- De Ridder, D. J. A., Goubitz, K., Sonneveld, E. J., Molleman, W. & Schenk, H. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **C58**, m596–m598.
- Dowty, E. (2006). *ATOMS*. Shape Software, Kingsport, Tennessee, USA.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
- Groom, C. R. & Allen, F. H. (2014). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **53**, 662–671.
- Henisch, H. K. (1970). *Crystal Growth in Gels*. Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Krivovichev, S. V. & Brown, I. D. (2001). *Z. Kristallogr.* **216**, 245–247.
- Labutina, M. L., Marychev, M. O., Portnov, V. N., Somov, N. V. & Chuprunov, E. V. (2011). *Crystallogr. Rep.* **56**, 72–74.
- Lange, W. (1929). *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **62B**, 793–801.
- Lillybai, G. & Rahimkuty, M. H. (2010). *J. Atomic Molecular Optical Phys.* Article ID 265403, 1–7.
- Schülke, H. & Kayser, R. (1991). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **600**, 221–226.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Stöger, B., Weil, M. & Skibsted, J. (2013). *Dalton Trans.* **42**, 11672–11682.
- Walford, L. K. (1967). *Acta Cryst.* **22**, 324.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, 82-84 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989014027376]

Crystal structure of lead(II) tartrate: a redetermination

Matthias Weil

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2013); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2013); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2013); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ATOMS* (Dowty, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Poly[μ_4 -tartrato- κ^6 O¹,O³:O^{1'}:O²,O⁴:O^{4'}-lead]

Crystal data

[Pb(C₄H₄O₆)]

$M_r = 355.26$

Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$

Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab

$a = 7.9890$ (2) Å

$b = 8.8411$ (3) Å

$c = 8.3434$ (2) Å

$V = 589.31$ (3) Å³

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 632$

$D_x = 4.004$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 9854 reflections

$\theta = 3.4$ – 40.9°

$\mu = 28.61$ mm⁻¹

$T = 296$ K

Block, colourless

$0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.09$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD

diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

ω - and φ -scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(*SADABS*; Bruker, 2013)

$T_{\min} = 0.099$, $T_{\max} = 0.183$

81742 measured reflections

4512 independent reflections

3836 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.046$

$\theta_{\max} = 43.7^\circ$, $\theta_{\min} = 3.4^\circ$

$h = -15 \rightarrow 15$

$k = -17 \rightarrow 17$

$l = -16 \rightarrow 16$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$

$wR(F^2) = 0.042$

$S = 1.06$

4512 reflections

108 parameters

2 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant

direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier

map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0155P)^2 + 1.1508P]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.007$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 3.20$ e Å⁻³

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -2.26$ e Å⁻³

Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 1959 Friedel pairs

Absolute structure parameter: -0.003 (7)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Pb1	-0.342448 (9)	0.001349 (17)	-0.054104 (9)	0.01396 (2)
O1	-0.0806 (2)	0.1027 (2)	0.1809 (3)	0.0159 (3)
O2	0.1001 (3)	0.1758 (3)	-0.0066 (2)	0.0180 (4)
O3	0.1564 (2)	-0.0126 (3)	0.3659 (2)	0.0147 (3)
O4	0.2220 (2)	0.3195 (2)	0.3069 (2)	0.0123 (3)
O5	0.5788 (3)	0.0785 (3)	0.3132 (3)	0.0215 (4)
O6	0.4746 (3)	0.2388 (3)	0.4968 (3)	0.0244 (5)
C1	0.0635 (3)	0.1138 (3)	0.1249 (3)	0.0103 (3)
C2	0.2130 (3)	0.0566 (3)	0.2235 (3)	0.0100 (3)
H2	0.2764	-0.0171	0.1601	0.012*
C3	0.3222 (3)	0.1966 (3)	0.2538 (3)	0.0101 (3)
H3	0.3686	0.2263	0.1497	0.012*
C4	0.4706 (3)	0.1686 (3)	0.3642 (3)	0.0128 (4)
H3O	0.224 (4)	-0.019 (6)	0.443 (4)	0.029 (12)*
H4O	0.144 (4)	0.288 (5)	0.367 (4)	0.015 (10)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Pb1	0.01063 (3)	0.01446 (3)	0.01679 (3)	0.00067 (7)	-0.00006 (2)	0.00120 (7)
O1	0.0081 (6)	0.0230 (9)	0.0167 (8)	0.0000 (6)	-0.0005 (6)	0.0032 (7)
O2	0.0155 (8)	0.0274 (10)	0.0111 (7)	0.0026 (8)	-0.0009 (6)	0.0057 (7)
O3	0.0116 (5)	0.0192 (9)	0.0134 (6)	0.0001 (8)	0.0009 (5)	0.0072 (7)
O4	0.0092 (6)	0.0113 (7)	0.0165 (8)	0.0011 (5)	0.0001 (6)	0.0004 (6)
O5	0.0100 (7)	0.0277 (11)	0.0267 (11)	0.0073 (7)	-0.0009 (7)	-0.0005 (8)
O6	0.0252 (10)	0.0242 (10)	0.0237 (10)	0.0083 (9)	-0.0156 (9)	-0.0090 (8)
C1	0.0081 (8)	0.0120 (8)	0.0108 (8)	-0.0009 (6)	-0.0017 (6)	-0.0006 (6)
C2	0.0081 (7)	0.0121 (8)	0.0098 (8)	0.0003 (7)	0.0004 (6)	0.0011 (6)
C3	0.0067 (8)	0.0129 (8)	0.0107 (8)	0.0005 (6)	-0.0001 (6)	0.0011 (6)
C4	0.0075 (7)	0.0137 (9)	0.0172 (10)	-0.0003 (7)	-0.0017 (7)	0.0003 (7)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Pb1—O1 ⁱ	2.472 (2)	O3—H3O	0.843 (10)
Pb1—O5 ⁱⁱ	2.482 (2)	O4—C3	1.420 (3)
Pb1—O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.594 (2)	O4—H4O	0.845 (10)

Pb1—O3 ⁱ	2.5972 (17)	O5—C4	1.250 (3)
Pb1—O4 ^{iv}	2.6878 (19)	O6—C4	1.270 (3)
Pb1—O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.7866 (19)	C1—C2	1.536 (3)
Pb1—O2 ^{iv}	2.935 (2)	C2—C3	1.535 (3)
Pb1—O1	3.004 (2)	C2—H2	0.9800
O1—C1	1.246 (3)	C3—C4	1.522 (3)
O2—C1	1.261 (3)	C3—H3	0.9800
O3—C2	1.411 (3)		
O1 ⁱ —Pb1—O5 ⁱⁱ	72.93 (7)	C1—O1—Pb1 ^v	126.48 (16)
O1 ⁱ —Pb1—O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	74.36 (7)	C2—O3—Pb1 ^v	120.65 (14)
O5 ⁱⁱ —Pb1—O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	99.96 (9)	C2—O3—H3O	118 (3)
O1 ⁱ —Pb1—O3 ⁱ	62.88 (6)	Pb1 ^v —O3—H3O	115 (3)
O5 ⁱⁱ —Pb1—O3 ⁱ	135.70 (7)	C3—O4—Pb1 ^{vi}	108.28 (13)
O6 ⁱⁱⁱ —Pb1—O3 ⁱ	71.85 (8)	C3—O4—H4O	111 (3)
O1 ⁱ —Pb1—O4 ^{iv}	64.23 (6)	Pb1 ^{vi} —O4—H4O	121 (3)
O5 ⁱⁱ —Pb1—O4 ^{iv}	69.82 (7)	C4—O5—Pb1 ^{vii}	128.07 (19)
O6 ⁱⁱⁱ —Pb1—O4 ^{iv}	138.58 (7)	C4—O6—Pb1 ^{viii}	125.98 (18)
O3 ⁱ —Pb1—O4 ^{iv}	87.75 (6)	O1—C1—O2	125.1 (2)
O1 ⁱ —Pb1—O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	122.21 (6)	O1—C1—C2	119.4 (2)
O5 ⁱⁱ —Pb1—O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	82.70 (7)	O2—C1—C2	115.4 (2)
O6 ⁱⁱⁱ —Pb1—O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	59.20 (6)	O3—C2—C3	113.16 (19)
O3 ⁱ —Pb1—O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	123.07 (6)	O3—C2—C1	110.14 (19)
O4 ^{iv} —Pb1—O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	148.738 (15)	C3—C2—C1	105.30 (18)
O1 ⁱ —Pb1—O2 ^{iv}	118.51 (7)	O3—C2—H2	109.4
O5 ⁱⁱ —Pb1—O2 ^{iv}	119.10 (7)	C3—C2—H2	109.4
O6 ⁱⁱⁱ —Pb1—O2 ^{iv}	140.78 (8)	C1—C2—H2	109.4
O3 ⁱ —Pb1—O2 ^{iv}	81.74 (7)	O4—C3—C4	111.99 (19)
O4 ^{iv} —Pb1—O2 ^{iv}	65.93 (6)	O4—C3—C2	110.38 (18)
O4 ⁱⁱⁱ —Pb1—O2 ^{iv}	119.18 (6)	C4—C3—C2	114.25 (19)
O1 ⁱ —Pb1—O1	150.22 (4)	O4—C3—H3	106.6
O5 ⁱⁱ —Pb1—O1	77.58 (7)	C4—C3—H3	106.6
O6 ⁱⁱⁱ —Pb1—O1	115.47 (6)	C2—C3—H3	106.6
O3 ⁱ —Pb1—O1	145.98 (6)	O5—C4—O6	126.2 (3)
O4 ^{iv} —Pb1—O1	101.71 (6)	O5—C4—C3	115.9 (2)
O4 ⁱⁱⁱ —Pb1—O1	56.54 (5)	O6—C4—C3	117.9 (2)
O2 ^{iv} —Pb1—O1	72.91 (6)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x-1/2, -y, z-1/2$; (ii) $-x+1/2, -y, z-1/2$; (iii) $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$; (iv) $x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z$; (v) $-x-1/2, -y, z+1/2$; (vi) $x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z$; (vii) $-x+1/2, -y, z+1/2$; (viii) $-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ($\text{\AA}, ^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O3—H3O \cdots O2 ^{vii}	0.84 (1)	2.02 (4)	2.646 (3)	131 (5)
O4—H4O \cdots O6 ^{ix}	0.85 (1)	1.79 (1)	2.618 (3)	169 (4)

Symmetry codes: (vii) $-x+1/2, -y, z+1/2$; (ix) $x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1$.